



HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

SESSION OF 2025 - 2026

(FIRST SESSION OF THE FIFTY-SECOND PARLIAMENT)

NOTICES OF MOTION

No. 41

TUESDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 2026

Notices of Motion

113 Mrs *Greene* to move—That the House:—

(1) Notes:—

- (a) the Launceston Chamber of Commerce Members Connect event on 9 June 2026, which focused on what comes next for Launceston;
- (b) the Chamber's quick work in bringing business, civic, tourism, community and local leaders together at a time of growing concern for Launceston and northern Tasmania; and
- (c) the mounting pressure on northern Tasmania, including 7West Media's move to Hobart, Hawthorn's planned departure from Launceston after 2027, Lion's proposal to close the Boag's brewery site, and uncertainty surrounding Liberty Bell Bay and Bell Bay Aluminium.

(2) Acknowledges:—

- (a) the workers, contractors, suppliers, small businesses, families and communities affected by these decisions;
- (b) the generations of workers who have built northern Tasmania's manufacturing, brewing, tourism, media, sport, events, industrial and small business sectors;
- (c) Launceston's strengths in food, agritourism, heritage, sport, events, arts, culture and regional visitation; and
- (d) the leadership shown by the Launceston Chamber of Commerce in moving quickly into practical ideas for Launceston's future.

(3) Calls on the Tasmanian Government to:—

- (a) fund the development of a clear plan for northern Tasmania, in partnership with the Launceston Chamber of Commerce, the Northern Tasmanian Development Corporation (NTDC), industry, tourism bodies, business leaders, local government, the Federal Government and Bass MPs; and
- (b) use that process to identify how the \$1 million in returned grant funding from Lion should be reinvested for the benefit of Launceston.

(4) Recognises that Launceston needs leadership and a practical plan for jobs, investment confidence and growth. (17 June 2026)

115 Ms *Badger* to move—That the House refers the following reference to the Standing Committee on Government Administration A to inquire into and report upon, by 26 November 2026, the construction and operation of artificial intelligence (AI) and data facility construction and operation in Tasmania, including recommending future legislation and regulations necessary to:—

- (a) protect public interest, resources and the environment;
- (b) ensure Tasmania's Resource Management and Planning System adequately recognises and addresses the specific impacts of the development and construction of AI and data facilities;
- (c) provide parliamentary oversight and accountability for new facility construction and resource allocation;
- (d) ensure transparent and regular public disclosure of resource consumption;
- (e) provide the Tasmanian community with adequate opportunities for consultation;

- (f) ensure the Tasmanian community receives tangible benefits from all AI and data facilities constructed in the state; and
- (g) any other matters incidental thereto. (18 June 2026)

116 Mr *Ferguson* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes that:—
 - (a) Bell Bay Aluminium (BBA) is Australia's only aluminium smelter powered entirely by renewable electricity, directly employing over 550 Tasmanians and supporting a significant northern Tasmanian supply chain;
 - (b) when announcing the \$2 billion Green Aluminium Production Credit (GAPC), the Prime Minister identified BBA as a beneficiary and the Minister for Industry and Innovation, Senator the Hon. Tim Ayres, confirmed its eligibility;
 - (c) on 28 July 2026, the Federal Member for Bass, Ms Jess Teesdale MP, stated that BBA would not be eligible;
 - (d) the Australian Government has committed billions of dollars to support smelters in other jurisdictions;
 - (e) Hydro Tasmania is negotiating in good faith and confirmed that additional support may be required to bridge the remaining commercial gap; and
 - (f) the Tasmanian Government has established a structured negotiation process with Hydro Tasmania and Rio Tinto to secure BBA's future.
- (2) Acknowledges:—
 - (a) that securing BBA's long-term future is in the State and national interest;
 - (b) Tasmania should not be disadvantaged for already having aluminium production renewably powered; and
 - (c) a sustainable outcome requires contributions from Rio Tinto, the Tasmanian and Australian Governments, while protecting Tasmanian taxpayers.
- (3) Calls on:—
 - (a) the Australian Government to honour its promise that BBA will have access to GAPC or provide an equivalent mechanism to ensure BBA's viability; and
 - (b) all Tasmanian representatives to support the combined efforts to secure Bell Bay Aluminium's future and advocate for Commonwealth support. (11 August 2026)

117 Mr *Ferguson* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Recognises:—
 - (a) the Australian Commonwealth Games Team on an outstanding performance, topping the medal tally with 70 gold, 45 silver and 56 bronze medals, for a total of 171 medals; and
 - (b) that Tasmania was represented by track cyclists Georgia Baker and Felicity Wilson-Haffenden.
- (2) Celebrates that Georgia Baker and Felicity Wilson-Haffenden returned home with five individual medals between them, and gold as members of the Australian Women's 4000-metre Team Pursuit team.
- (3) Acknowledges the honour bestowed upon Georgia Baker in being selected to carry the Australian flag at the Closing Ceremony.
- (4) Further recognises that the achievements of Georgia Baker and Felicity Wilson-Haffenden demonstrate the talent, determination and resilience of Tasmania's athletes and the strength of investing in Tasmania's elite sporting pathways.
- (5) Further acknowledges and thanks the coaches, officials, supporters, the Tasmanian Institute of Sport and especially the athletes' families for their belief, support and contribution to the preparation and performance of Tasmanian athletes at the Commonwealth Games. (11 August 2026)

118 Mr *Ferguson* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes the increasing detections of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) in wild birds and poultry on mainland Australia and the risk this poses to Tasmania.
- (2) Recognises that while the disease cannot be eliminated from wildlife, strong biosecurity, surveillance, preparedness and rapid response measures are essential in protecting Tasmania's commercial poultry industry, wildlife, environment and economy.

- (3) Commends the Tasmanian Government's Biosecurity Tasmania and Tasmanian industry for their proactive preparedness activities, including:—
 - (a) strengthening surveillance and diagnostic capability;
 - (b) developing and exercising response plans with industry;
 - (c) undertaking extensive stakeholder and public awareness campaigns;
 - (d) working closely with commercial poultry producers, veterinarians, local government, and wildlife groups; and
 - (e) maintaining close collaboration with the Australian Government and other jurisdictions through national response arrangements.
- (4) Encourages all Tasmanians to remain vigilant, report sick or dead birds, and continue supporting the State's biosecurity efforts to prevent and mitigate impacts on Tasmania from avian influenza virus. (11 August 2026)

122 Mrs *Greene* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes that:—
 - (a) Boag's beer has been brewed in Launceston for 145 years and is an iconic Tasmanian brand;
 - (b) Lion's decision to cease brewing in Tasmania has resulted in more than 42 jobs being lost from the northern economy; and
 - (c) power, water, freight and other costs have placed increasing pressure on Tasmanian manufacturers.
- (2) Recognises that the Premier, Hon. Jeremy Rockliff MP, travelled to Japan at taxpayers' expense and met with Kirin executives, claiming he would advocate for Boag's workers and northern Tasmania.
- (3) Calls on the Premier to:—
 - (a) explain exactly what he achieved on his Japanese junket, including what commitments he secured regarding the brewery, brand, workforce, or a potential sale; and
 - (b) disclose the trip's full cost and table all relevant meeting records and correspondence with Kirin and Lion.
- (4) Urges the Government to support the sale of Boag's to a buyer committed to keeping brewing and jobs in Tasmania. (11 August 2026)

123 Mr *Winter* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes that at the 2025 State Election, the Premier, Hon. Jeremy Rockliff MP, promised a state-owned insurer called 'TasInsure', claiming it would:—
 - (a) provide a \$250 annual insurance premium saving for every household;
 - (b) slash business insurance premiums by 20 per cent and "reduce grocery prices";
 - (c) not cost taxpayers a cent; and
 - (d) raid the Motor Accidents Insurance Board's (MAIB) reserves to underwrite its policies.
- (2) Further notes that because the Premier's policy was a fraud, he has now backtracked by:—
 - (a) dropping the MAIB raid;
 - (b) wasting more than \$100,000 on consultant John Trowbridge – whom he called the "Michael Jordan of insurance" – only for Mr Trowbridge to conclude that "my view, with only a few moments' reflection, is that such an ambition would not only be a disruption on an unachievable scale but also an aspiration with high risk, high cost and low chance of delivering...";
 - (c) demoting TasInsure to a statutory authority offering advice and comparison tools; and
 - (d) signing a three-month Memorandum of Understanding with RACT on 10 August 2026 – outsourcing his promises to an entity he originally set out to compete against.
- (3) Raises concern that the Premier still intends to create a 'designated risks pool' and underwrite policies where insurers will not provide reasonably priced cover, and that these policies will expose Tasmania's already at-breaking-point budget to serious financial risk.

- (4) Calls on the Premier to apologise to Tasmanians for misleading them with a promise of a \$250 saving he knew he could never deliver. (11 August 2026)

124 Ms *Howlett* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Recognises the important contribution live music makes to Tasmania's cultural identity, local communities, and visitor and regional economies.
- (2) Acknowledges the vital role played by Tasmanian musicians, performers, sound technicians, hospitality workers, venue operators and other creative industry professionals.
- (3) Welcomes the Tasmanian Government's proposal to establish a Tasmanian Live Music Excise Rebate Pilot to encourage more venues to host live music.
- (4) Notes that, under the proposal, participating pubs, hotels and clubs would be able to receive a rebate equal to 50 per cent of their verified expenditure on live performers and associated live-music costs.
- (5) Further recognises that the proposed pilot would:—
 - (a) create more paid performance opportunities for Tasmanian musicians;
 - (b) support jobs for sound technicians, production workers and hospitality employees;
 - (c) encourage more patrons to visit local pubs, hotels and clubs; and
 - (d) strengthen Tasmania's live music, hospitality and tourism sectors.
- (6) Agrees that Tasmania's strong musical culture, vibrant hospitality industry and diverse regional communities make the state an ideal location to trial this innovative proposal.
- (7) Calls on State Labor to join with the Tasmanian Government in calling on the Federal Labor Government to support the establishment of the Tasmanian Live Music Excise Rebate Pilot. (11 August 2026)

125 Ms *Rosol* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes that in June 2025 the Custodial Inspector stated “prison watch-houses are wholly inappropriate for children” and recommended the Government develop a plan to stop their use.
- (2) Recognises with alarm that on 306 occasions in the 2025-2026 financial year, children were held in Tasmanian prison watch-houses, including some as young as 12 or 13 years old.
- (3) Decries the continued detainment of children in prison watch-houses in Tasmania.
- (4) Further notes that during Budget Estimates, the Minister for Children and Youth was unable to say how many children were held in prison watch-houses between 1 July 2025 and 3 June 2026 due to the absence of processes for sharing information between relevant departments.
- (5) Calls on the Government to:—
 - (a) urgently create formal pathways and processes for the regular and routine sharing of data about children held in prison watch-houses between the Department of Justice; Department of Police, Fire and Emergency Management; and the Department for Education, Children and Young People;
 - (b) update the House on progress towards information sharing processes between departments by Thursday 10 September 2026; and
 - (c) implement the Custodial Inspector’s recommendations about children in prison watch-houses, particularly Recommendation 3, for the Government to develop a plan, with timelines, to stop the use of prison watch-houses for children, and to ensure alternatives align with broader youth justice reform. (11 August 2026)

128 Mr *Willie* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes that:—
 - (a) in February 2025, the Minister for Energy and Renewables, Hon. Nick Duigan MLC, welcomed Liberty Bell Bay’s new power agreement and claimed it had “secured the future” of the smelter for the next 10 years; and
 - (b) barely 18 months later, Liberty Bell Bay closed and more than 200 Tasmanians lost their livelihoods.
- (2) Recognises the devastating impact on workers, their families, suppliers, and the northern Tasmanian economy.
- (3) Acknowledges:—

- (a) GFG Alliance's significant responsibility for the collapse, with administrators finding the company may have been insolvent from May 2025 and around \$191 million had been moved out of Liberty Bell Bay through inter-company loans; and
- (b) the Liberal Government actively courted GFG Alliance, with the Member for Bass, Mr Michael Ferguson MP, boasting that the Government were the ones who approached the company and "worked hard" to bring it to Tasmania.
- (4) Agrees that these findings raise serious questions about the company the Liberal Government worked so hard to attract to Tasmania and the adequacy of the Government's due diligence and oversight.
- (5) Calls on the Government to:—
 - (a) disclose what due diligence was undertaken before encouraging GFG Alliance to acquire TEMCO;
 - (b) accept responsibility for its role in bringing GFG Alliance to Tasmania and failing to deliver the secure future it promised Liberty Bell Bay workers; and
 - (c) explain what lessons it has learned and how it will ensure those failures are not repeated at Bell Bay Aluminium. (13 August 2026)

129 Ms *Butler* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes:—
 - (a) concerns raised by a constituent in Lyons about the operation of Tasmania's Partition Act 1869 (the Act);
 - (b) that the Act is more than 150 years old and may not properly reflect modern farming, land ownership, agricultural production or regional communities;
 - (c) that concerns have been raised that the Act may allow a minority co-owner of land to seek the forced sale of an entire property, including productive family farms; and
 - (d) the case of Arundel Farm in the Derwent Valley, and the broader concern that productive Tasmanian farmland should not be placed at risk by outdated legal settings.
- (2) Acknowledges:—
 - (a) the importance of family farms to Tasmania's regional economy, food production, local jobs and community identity;
 - (b) the generations of Tasmanian farming families who have built, maintained and invested in productive agricultural land;
 - (c) that there must be a fair legal mechanism to resolve genuine disputes between co-owners of land; and
 - (d) that this mechanism should not enable unfair outcomes that place productive farms and regional communities at risk.
- (3) Calls on the Tasmanian Government to:—
 - (a) review and contemporise the Act; and
 - (b) consider reforms that would give courts greater discretion to prevent misuse of the Act, particularly where productive agricultural land is involved.
- (4) Recognises that Tasmania's laws should protect fairness between landowners while also safeguarding productive farmland, family farming businesses and regional communities. (18 August 2026)

131 Dr *Woodruff* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes:—
 - (a) the Australian Medical Association (AMA) Tasmania Briefing Paper, 'Antibiotic use in Tasmania Salmon Farming, Antimicrobial Resistance and Human Health';
 - (b) the Briefing Paper background statement that, "Antibiotic use in open marine aquaculture is well documented to carry risks of environmental persistence, development of resistant bacteria, and eventual spread of resistance genes into human, animal, and environmental microbiomes."; and
 - (c) its call for the precautionary principle to guide antibiotic use in aquaculture, noting that, "Deploying florfenicol in Tasmanian waters under emergency powers, without comprehensive assessment or monitoring, carries demonstrable public health and environmental risks".
- (2) Recognises the AMA Tasmania has recommended:—

- (a) the cessation of emergency antibiotic approvals pending full APVMA registration, including complete environmental risk assessment and public consultation;
 - (b) comprehensive baseline and ongoing monitoring of antibiotic residues, resistant bacteria, and resistance genes in water, sediments, and wild fish;
 - (c) mandatory and timely transparency on all antibiotic use in aquaculture, including in hatcheries;
 - (d) adoption of a “One Health” framework to ensure aquaculture decisions consider public health impacts and antibiotic microbial resistance risks;
 - (e) investment in alternative control measures, including vaccines, improved husbandry, and reduced stocking densities, to reduce dependence on antibiotics; and
 - (f) independent scientific oversight of any antibiotic use proposals, including peer review by environmental microbiologists and infectious disease specialists.
- (3) Calls on the Government to apply the precautionary principle to the use of florfenicol in Tasmanian waters, and to prioritise public and environmental health in line with the AMA Tasmania recommendations. (18 August 2026)

133 Ms *Rosol* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes:—
- (a) the National Disability Insurance Scheme Amendment (Securing the NDIS for Future Generations) Bill 2026 being debated in the Senate of the Parliament of Australia this week will result in more than 300,000 people being removed from or refused access to the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS); and
 - (b) that many Tasmanians will be among those removed from or refused access to the NDIS.
- (2) Recognises with alarm that the Federal Government’s NDIS cuts will have a devastating impact on Tasmanians living with disability and their families, including:—
- (a) increased isolation and less ability to work or study due to cuts to Capacity Building and Social and Community Participation budgets;
 - (b) learning and development delays caused by reduced access to therapy; and
 - (c) an increasing burden of care falling to family members.
- (3) Considers that Foundational Support and Thriving Kids are insufficient to fully meet the needs of many people with disability, especially since neither have been developed prior to NDIS cuts.
- (4) Agrees that NDIS cuts will have a significant flow-on effect to Tasmanian services, placing increased pressure on health, education, child safety and justice services.
- (5) Condemns the planned NDIS cuts and calls on:—
- (a) the Federal Government to reverse them and use alternative strategies to achieve NDIS savings rather than penalising people living with disability; and
 - (b) the State Government to table any modelling undertaken on the impact of NDIS cuts on state services in the House by 10 September 2026. (18 August 2026)

136 Ms *Howlett* to move—That the House:—

- (1) Notes that on any given day, there is an average of 100 people utilising a Tasmanian hospital bed who, through no fault of their own, are medically ready to be discharged but who remain stranded in hospital due to a lack of available aged care places or National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) supports.
- (2) Recognises that being stranded in hospital is having a significant impact on the health and wellbeing of these Tasmanians, while placing additional pressure on hospital beds, emergency departments and elective surgery waiting lists across Tasmania.
- (3) Acknowledges that aged care and NDIS are areas of Commonwealth responsibility, who have continually refused to offer any solutions or funding to fix these long-standing issues.
- (4) Further notes that Federal Government changes affecting the affordability of private health insurance will result in more older Tasmanians relying on the public health system, exacerbating this issue even further and adding more further pressure to our hospitals.

- (5) Further recognises the Tasmanian Government continues to work on interim solutions for these patients, despite them being areas of Commonwealth responsibility.
- (6) Calls on the Australian Government to fund these interim solutions and fix these long-standing issues. (18 August 2026)

LAURA ROSS, *Clerk of the House*