Ilise Bourke

From: womble <fallow@skymesh.com.au> **Sent:** Monday, 31 December 2012 7:56 PM

To: tfacommittee

Subject: Tasmanian Forestry Agreement submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Sir and Madam

In reference to Tasmanian Forests Agreement Bill 2012 (no 30) and Any other matters incidental thereto We ask you Not to Support this forests agreement in its current form.

We belive there is no where near the amount of timber available to sustain the forest industry137.000 cubic meters is not enought for the timber industry.

This bill takes away more land from tasmanians that use it like hunters, bike riders, fourwheel drivers, bee keepers, people getting firewood for there heating ect! there is plenty of tasmania locked away now, we have a rich traditional and cultual heritage in using the bush.

We have one of the worlds best fallow deer herds in tasmania and are keenly hunted when in season, thay range from ben lomond area to the cressy area all the way down passed oatlands to up on the central highlands and east coast with a large majority being on forestry ground and the lakes river state forest area has a game managment plan on it between hunters and forestry commission, and wallaby is hunted on forestry land all over the state for game and browsing control and for food.

Gun owners and along with new gun licence applicants can also apply to the foresty commission to obtain a permision to hunt form to renew or obtain a new gun licence see section 39 firearms act 1996(we do not want to lose this)

We belive that what ever happens the environment and green groups and radical individuals will not be satisfied and will continue to demonstrate and tell lies and mistruths over seas.

But we would support the bill if there was more timber available to the forestry industry and the pulpmill be built as a state significent project by the government and purchase the triabunna wood chip mill.

That there be NO more ground locked away in to parks , world heritage. THAT ALL LAND IN THIS BILL BE LEGISLATED TO BE MULTIPLE USE RESERVES FOR RECREATIONAL AND CULTUAL PUR:SUITS .but with the opportunity to make reserves for the protection of rare plants or animals if needed. But the multiple use reserves must not hinder or interfere with industry eg stoping mining ect, Multi use reserves for recreational use can be managed properly with industry (just what the word means multi use) That there be stronger legislation implemented to stop these radicals from demonstrating eg like tree sits and going over seas and telling mistruthes and lies and interfering with lawfull business.

And remove all environmental and green and conservation representatives from the Ministers special council this will only do the timber business harm And i would like to take this opportunity to say that any conservation or environmental or green group that was involved in these agreement talks had no RIGHT TO and DO NOT speak for or represent the majority of tasmanian people.

Thanks for your time

Shan Rayner and Family

130 Smarts Rd Mount Hicks

PH 03 64381632

