

1882.

TASMANIA.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

#### •

 $\mathbf{OF}$ 


SHEEP:

REPORT FOR 1881.

INSPECTOR

CHIEF

Laid upon the Table by the Attorney-General, and ordered by the House to be printed, July 12, 1882.



# REPORT OF THE CHIEF INSPECTOR OF SHEEP.

Inspector of Sheep Office, 23rd July, 1882.

Sir.

T have the honor to submit, for the information of the Government, the following Report to the 30th June.

I have the satisfaction of stating that the flocks of the Colony remain free from the Scab disease.

During the last twelve months no wild sheep have been captured or seen, so far as I am informed. I cannot say, however, that it is not possible some may still exist. Further time must elapse before it can be said with absolute certainty that we are altogether free from danger from that source; but I think now we may consider it a possibility only, and not unreasonably conclude it to be improbable.

The number of sheep returned up to the 30th June is about 20,000 in excess of last year's returns, but, as usual, there are still a few thousands to be accounted for during the next three months. During the year there are always a number of changes amongst owners, and an accession of new ones unacquainted with the law. A considerable number of these send in returns during the last six months of the year. For instance, last year nearly 24,000 sheep were returned during the last half, paying, of course, the penalty of an additional one-fourth contribution to the Scab Act Fund.

This remissness or ignorance of the Law on the part of the class of owners referred to, gives rise to a great deal of unnecessary trouble and labour to the Department, inasmuch as instead of all the returns being made in January and February they are more or less spread over the whole year. The great majority, no doubt, are returned on or before the 28th of February. It is an evil, however, which cannot well be remedied, and as it was foreseen when I framed the Act, the penalty of an additional one-fourth was provided to meet it.

ABSTRACT of Wool Exports for the last Thirteen Years, and Number of Sheep.

6	Hoba	urt.	Launce	eston.	Тот.	No. of Sheep.	
	Lbs.	Value.	Lbs.	Value.	Lbs.	Value.	Ì
ĺ		£		£		£	
1869–70	2,583,876	134,855	2,609,718	163,709	5,193,594	298,564	1,531,186
1870-71	2,682,193	136,380	2,264,188	123,971	4,946,381	260,351	1,349,775
1871–72	2,678,171	183,500	2,230,819	170,246	4,908,990	353,746	1,305,489
1872–73	2,390,400	182,710	2,286,750	173,378	4,677,150	356,088	1,405,862
1873-74	2,873,207	207,205	2,657,584	181,728	5,530,791	388,933	1,531,242
1874-75	3,258,032	229,847	2,811,897	199,036	6,069,299	428,883	1,700,454
1875-76	3,761,993	242,498	2,845,321	195,300	6,607,214	437,798	1,783,072
1876-77	3,648,020	235,440	3,205,510	200,828	6,853,530	436,268	1,804,486
1877-78	4,020,152	260,543	3,436,453	218,799	7,436,453	479,342	1,845,810
1878-79	4,305,322	259,093	3,717,926	225,770	8,022,926	484,863	1,845,086
1879-80	4,283,926	229,226	3,862,734	224,416	8,146,660	453,642	1,800,639
1880-81	4,321,445	253,175	3,389,401	233,222	7,710,846	486,398	1,739,088
1881–82	4,620,892	239,819	3,582,717	311,656	8,203,609	451,475	1,759,420

It will be observed that although the number of lbs. of wool exported last year and the beginning of this year, being the clip of 1881, exceeded by 500,000 lbs. the clip of the preceding year, it is valued at the Customs at £34,000 less. Why this should be so I am unable to discern. The Customs Act does not necessitate a declaration as to the value of exports, consequently little reliance can be placed upon their values. It is a mere hap-hazard matter, dependent upon the caprice of the exporter, or perhaps that of his shipping clerk.

The number of Sheep returned in Live Stock Returns, and under the Scab Act, since 1860 is as under:—

•	In Live Stock Return	ns.	Under Scab Act.
From 1860 to 1869, average number	1,730,000	• •	
1870		• •	1,416,665
1871	1,349,775	• •	1,349,134
1872	1,305,489	• •	1,306,359
1873	1,395,353	• •	1,323,480
1874	1,501,531	• •	1,531,242
1875	1,714,168	• •	1,700,454
1876	1,719,768		1,783,072
1877	1,755,142	• •	1,804,486
1878	1,831,278	• •	1,845,810
1879	1,838,831	· • •	1,845,086
1880	1,848,591		1,800,639
1881	1,783,611		1,739,088
1882	1,847,479	• •	1,759,420

Importations of fat sheep and bullocks in 1880 show an increase on the previous year in cattle, and a decrease in sheep; the most of them arrived by the T.S.N. Company's steamers on ships' account.

1						£
18	69	Bullocks, 17	722; sheer	, 16,540;	value	31,695
18	70	Ditto, 16		5, <b>17</b> ,900;		27,945
18	71	Ditto, 9	928; ditto	, 13,053;	ditto	18,230
. 18	72	Ditto, 8	327; ditto	5398;	ditto	12,102
18	<b>7</b> 3	Ditto, 9	900; ditto	, 13,188;	ditto	19,843
18	<b>7</b> 4	Ditto, 7		, 19,958;	ditto	29,158
	<b>7</b> 5	Ditto, 12	211; ditte	, 22,971;	ditto	$36,\!462$
	76	Ditto, 8		, 12,054;	ditto	22,708
	77	Ditto, 9	904; ditto	o, 8385;	ditto	19,820
	78	Ditto, 13	. ,	o, 10,980;	ditto	$23,\!392$
	79	Ditto, 14	. ,	, 18,920;	ditto	33,402
	80	Ditto, 17		o, 11,193;	ditto	24,815
18	81	Ditto, 19	953; ditto	, 11,458;	ditto	30,173
In 18	73 Cattle we	re returned at	<del></del>	<del></del>	104.5	94 head
		••••••				08 ditto
18						50 ditto
					•	94 ditto
18						59 ditto
18						87 ditto
18					•	76 ditto
18						91 ditto
18						00 ditto
18		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				26 ditto

I remarked last year upon the unaccountable difference in the number of cattle as compared with the preceding year, and now this year there is a greater difference still, but showing as unaccountable an increase this year as was the decrease of last year. In fact, as now taken, the returns of Cattle and Sheep in the Stock and Crop Returns are of no value whatever. The remuneration given is insufficient to secure even moderate accuracy.

The number of stud sheep exported in 1881, as shown by the Customs returns, was as follows in number and value:—

To New Zealand	296,	ditto	6650	0	0
	1306		£38,305	0	0

I have every reason to believe that the amount realised for stud sheep exported in 1881 was considerably more than is shown above.

This season the export promises to be greater in number, but of course it is impossible to say that the wonderful prices of last year will be kept up.\* Even if they are much lower I think the breeders will have no reason to complain.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  The sales of stud sheep now going on at Sydney it is said will realise about £25,000.

The number of rabbit skins exported in 1881 was 1,927,620, valued at £14,511.

The curse is still spreading; and notwithstanding the fact that more skins were exported last year and during the first six months of the current year than at any previous period, there are now more rabbits than ever; that is, that although they have been thinned in some parts of the country by being destroyed in various ways, they have been increasing elsewhere where a few years ago a rabbit could not be found.

There was a settler from the Huon country in my office the other day to order some poisoned grain. He is a small farmer, with an orchard from which I believe he derives his principal support. He informed me that rabbits had increased about his place to such an extent, notwithstanding his efforts to destroy them, that before long his orchard would be destroyed, as they had commenced to bark the trees.

I have satisfactory accounts from various quarters as to the destructive effects of the poisoned grain prepared in Raymond's machines under the superintendence of an officer of this Department; but I feel assured it will only prove a palliative without a compulsory law to compel every one to use the means of destruction at the same time, especially through the spring and summer months. In connection with this rabbit question and what appears a large amount from the export of skins, I may observe, that if there was no export of rabbit skins there would be an additional export of wool to the amount of at least £100,000 per annum.

There is another aspect of the question, and a very serious one too. Rabbit-hunting has a most demoralising influence upon a very large number of lads and young men, now almost entirely occupied in that pursuit. In the country towns there is an opinion prevalent amongst shopkeepers and those who deal in rabbit skins, which I have frequently heard expression given to, and that is, that the existence of rabbits not only produces a considerable export, but they create and foster trade, and provide labour for a large number of men and boys. Where these opinions prevail of course it is not considered a desirable object to destroy the rabbits, but rather to keep such a source of local profit in existence.

No greater fallacy could exist than the idea that rabbit-hunting is a useful and desirable occupation for lads and young men; on the contrary, it is utter destruction to habits of steady and honest labour. Lads who have been engaged in rabbit-hunting for a number of years are growing up unfitted for any steady industrious occupation. They become demoralised in every respect, and I believe the ultimate fate of very many of them will be to fill our gaols and penitentiaries, and not a few to find work for the hangman. This picture may appear to be an exaggerated one, but I venture to say that if you appeal to any intelligent settler acquainted with the operation of rabbiting as affecting the moral status of the youths engaged in it, my opinions will receive full confirmation.

I think it will not be out of place if I again reproduce in a corrected form certain calculations appended to former reports, showing the amount of material advantage I consider the Colony has derived from the Scab Act during the last 10 years. I do this because it appears to me that the pastoral and agricultural interests are not held in much repute at the present time by some of our public men, and very many of those who live in towns. In fact not a few of the latter seem to think that instead of the country supporting and giving employment to the inhabitants of the towns, it is the towns that support the country.

The glitter of gold and tin overshadows and casts into the shade the pastoral and agricultural interests to such an extent that it is almost received as an indisputable fact that the gold and tin discovery has been the salvation of Tasmania.

The majority only look at the amount derived from the exports of gold and tin. They torget or ignore the enormous amount which has been irretrievably sunk, and will continue to be sunk, in unproductive claims and bubble companies,—the usual concomitants of mining for the precious metals,—and erroneously conclude that the Custom House value of the export is all clear gain to the Colony.

Instead of this being the case, I venture to say that up to the present time every pound's worth of gold which has been exported from Tasmania has cost Forty shillings to produce it.

In support of this opinion I will give an extract from the second of two very able articles in the Contemporary Review on "Commonplace fallacies concerning Money, by Emile de Laveleyé":—

"The value of gold depends so little upon the cost of production that we learn from those of competent authority, who have given the question their time and attention, that the average cost of each ounce obtained is the price of two. It will be asked: Why then is such a losing business carried on?—It cannot be possible! The explanation is very simple. Certain mines make very large profits, and those who work at a loss hope, some day, to strike a rich vein, a "Bonanza," and they work on, cheered by that hope, until they have expended all their resources. Sometimes they succeed, and their good fortune stimulates others to similar labours."

It will not be understood, I hope, that I am desirous of advancing such an absurd opinion as that the Colony has not derived, and will not derive in the future, great advantage from its mineral productions. What I mean is, that the extent of these advantages are greatly overestimated; and, moreover, if we are to attach any weight to experience in other countries, they cannot be looked upon as being of a permanent character.

In Victoria and New South Wales the gold discovery attracted hundreds of thousands of people from all quarters of the globe, the bulk of whom remained only a short time at the diggings, and then spread themselves abroad and occupied a country which only required human beings to make it productive.

Here in Tasmania the conditions are altogether different. The mines do not attract any population excepting for mining purposes; nor does it appear likely they will ever do so. The population they have attracted from the neighbouring Colonies is by no means great—only a few thousands in fact. The mining population no doubt create an internal market for beef and mutton, which is an advantage to the grazier; but, on the other hand, the agricultural districts have been deprived of a large number of their best labourers, who have been allured to the mines by the temptation of higher wages than the agriculturist can afford to give.

It is by no means an uncommon observation to hear in the towns and on the road that the settlers of Tasmania are an inert class, and are sadly lacking in enterprise. Some even go to the length of branding them with laziness—particularly a class of critics from the other Colonies, who travel through the country by rail, and when they observe that on many farms as they pass gardens are going to ruin and the hedges are untrimmed, and it may be the fences in anything but good order,—they pronounce a sweeping condemnation upon the owners, and gravely give expression to the opinion that the Tasmanian settlers are a poor specimen of what the settlers of a new country ought to be, and that, in fact, they do not deserve to prosper. The climate is genial, and the soil appears to be fertile, and yet they fail to take advantage of the blessings of a bountiful Providence. The significant and important fact is forgotten by or unknown to these intelligent travellers and dwellers in cities, that the Tasmanian settlers are pretty much in the position of the Israelites in Egypt under Pharoah the King who were called upon to "make bricks without straw."

The country interests are in a state of stagnation—I may say, are almost perishing—for want of labour; and unless population of the right stamp is introduced the present state of hopeless stagnation and absence of all improvements must not only continue, but it seems to me will become more intensified year after year.

The mines will never give Tasmania agricultural labour, but, on the contrary, tend to absorb a great deal of the best we now possess. Nothing, in my opinion, can place the agricultural and pastoral interests of Tasmania in a position to emerge from their present cheerless condition, and attain prosperity, but the introduction of at least 10,000 immigrants of the agricultural class from Great Britain and Germany. I do not mean the introduction of 10,000 people in one year, but spread, say, over 3 or 4 years. Ten or twelve or fifteen years ago this would have been absurd, inasmuch as then Tasmania would only have been a stepping-stone to other Colonies where greater attractions existed. Not so now. The attractions which existed elsewhere then no longer exist. If some of those who might now be introduced felt tempted to try the diggings, they would find our own just as attractive as anything the other Colonies can offer; and when they had tried their hands at mining, and became tired of it, they would quietly settle down to agricultural pursuits.

In making these observations and expressing my opinions upon the present position of the pastoral and agricultural interests—I might say interest, because the two are so closely combined that they are really one—I have no desire to trench improperly upon anything which might be construed to be of a political character. My only objects are to show that the pastoral and agricultural settlers are not justly open to the charges of inertness, want of enterprise, and even laziness, which have been very frequently levelled at them; that they are helpless for the want of labour; and that the idea that gold and tin are the sole causes of the change in Tasmania's financial position is an exaggerated and fallacious idea, based upon conclusions arrived at without a due enquiry into and consideration of all the elements which have contributed to our present greatly improved condition. In my humble opinion the improved condition of the financial position of Tasmania at the present time, as compared with 10 or 12 years ago, owes more to the pastoral interest than to either gold or tin.

Gold and tin have certainly worked a revolution in Launceston, but I fail to perceive its beneficial and improving effects either in Hobart or throughout the country districts generally. In point of fact the country has been materially injured by the mining interest, inasmuch as it has absorbed many thousands of labourers who otherwise would have been employed in effecting improvements of a permanent character. There is only one thing now which could compensate the Agricultural and Pastoral interests for the injury the mines have inflicted upon them, and that would be the introduction of a copious supply of labour. £100,000 expended in the introduction of labour would be a

far more productive investment for the Colony at the present time than the construction of Branch Railways. With a sufficient supply of labour, Branch Railways would follow as a matter of course.

It may be that my views are open to the charge of being tinged with prejudice in this matter as regards the miner for gold and tin, and the settler, but I do not think so. Let any dispassionate person traverse the old country districts and see if he can discover what gold and tin has done for them up to the present time. If he is a man of observation I venture to say that the result of his observations and enquiries will be that the only thing the gold and tin discovery has done for the country settler has been to deprive him in a great measure of the scanty supply of labour he formerly possessed.

The number of sheep imported during the past 12 months is 410,474, and miles travelled by Inspectors, 12,370. What I have done personally I take no account of.

I have every reason to be satisfied with the manner in which the several Inspectors have performed their work, and can only express my regret that in deference to the behests of Parliament I have been compelled to frame my Estimates for 1883 one Inspector short of the present number.

I am of opinion that it is not a prudent reduction, but I am aware that any further remonstrance on my part would avail nothing.

The Returns of Sheep throughout the Island, and the names of the owners, will be found in Appendix A. The other Appendices contain the calculations referred to in this Report.

I have the honor to be, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

JAMES WHYTE.

The Hon. WILLIAM MOORE, Colonial Secretary.

#### APPENDIX A.

RETURN of Sheep in Tasmania in the several Municipal and Police Districts up to the 30th June, 1882, showing their Condition at that date.

# BOTHWELL.

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total.
Allison, Nathaniel P	200 5763 9685 62 1260 100 600 80 3847 900 5276	950 150 1660 930 — — — — — — 40 — — 150 1300 500 1320	7500 350 7423 10,615 62 1260 200 600 120 3847 1050 6576 4000 6554 4075	M'Dowell, Archibald M'Rae, Duncan Nicholas, William Nicholas, Edward, jun North, William Parsons, Cecil Reid, Alexander Steele, T. E. J Savage, H. T Triffett, W. and Co Weston, Maurice Wood, John Denniston Wylie, David, jun	2300 16,000 12,000 120 1469 4021 4200 380 800	74 700 3100 4000 — — 1200 700 290 2500 2500 5000 135	2374 3000 19,100 16,000 120 1469 5221 4900 670 1000 15,622 435 134,593

# BRIGHTON.

Anderson, William	35	17 1	52	Johnson, John	440	180 [	620
Argent, James	52	17	69	Jones, Henry	60		60
Brock, Alexander	280	120	400	Johnson, Joseph	179	28	207
Butler, John J	250	30	280	Keating, P. and M	1709	376	2085
Bowhey, Richard	61	15	76	Kemp, G. A	12	8	20
Barwick, Joseph	1420	450	1870	Lamprill, Frederick	338	224	562
Blacklow, J. J	50	13	63	Lamprill, William	300	120	420
Blacklow, Albert	231	120	351	Mann, Edward	980	170	1152
Blacklow, J. W	660	92	752	Mollineaux, Eliza	50	15	65
Brown, Elizabeth	190	20	210	Mortyn, Frederick	26	10	36
Brown, J. R	9	3	12	M'Shane, James	6	6	12
Cooney, E	15	5	20	Martin, Alexander	1254	450	1704
Chaplin, Margaret	18		18	Matthews, Charles	175	75	250
Collis, James	1000	100	1100	Murphy, John	210	50	260
Curtain, David	100	50	150	Newnham, Henry	153	. 38	. 191
Childs, Henry J	34	8	42	O'Donnell, James	57	23	80
Commons, Stephen	450	32	482	Poynter, Geo. F. B	959	25	984
Cloak, James	19	5	24	Propsting, Henry	275	90	365
Devine, Joseph	220	96	316	Reynolds, H. C	456	112	568
Davis, W. T.	42	23	65	Reynolds, David (Old Beach)	250	50	300
Eddington, John Thomas	10	6	16	Reynolds, T., sen	3	3	6
Elliston, V. R. L	170	140	310	Reynolds, W. H	20	_	20
Elliott, Mrs. L	15	4	19	Stanfield, Thomas	565	239	804
Forster, George and Thos	51	26	77	Sheppard, John	762	285	1047
Findlay, John	90	46	136	Sketch, Robert	104	30	134
Fergusson, D. V	80	53	133	Smith, Joseph	255	145	400
Gage, John F	300	l <u></u>	300	Tonks, Jeremiah	620	. — !	620
Gunn, William	1253	223	1476	Williams, Richard	84	20	104
Graf, E	14	4	18	Walker, Rowland	30	20	50
Grice, Dickenson	44	19	63	Wilson, Robert, jun	800	300	1100
Gard, Robert, & R. Phillips	1217	476	1693	Wheatley, John George	62	20	82
Hollis, James	19		19	Williams, Robert	275	40	315
Hindes, J. & R	172	- 18	190	Weston, Maurice	3121	200	3321
Hughes, Mrs	9		9	Webb, Mrs. W	151	113	264
Harding, R	20	6	26	Webb, E. J.	416	247	663
Hyland, David	204	22	226	,			
Hanslow, George	25	1	26			i	
Johnson, Samson	100	—,	100		24,088	5972	30,060
		[ ]			•		-
<del></del>				· · ·			

	Sheep	Lambs			Sheep	Lambs	
Names.	returned, 1882.	returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	returned, 1882.	returned, 1882.	Total.
	[	<u> </u>	34DDTI	T FOUNT		<b></b>	
		CA	MPBEI	LL TOWN.			
Archer, Edward		457	390 <b>0</b> 440	Jones, Robert J	$\begin{vmatrix} 500 \\ 321 \end{vmatrix}$	150 82	650 403
Bayles, R. H		1520	8856	Kermode, W. A	3048	<b></b>	3048
Bayles, J. J	2547	317	2864	Leake, Charles H	1274 1800	500 400	1774 2200
Bayles, Emerson H	3082 3378	450 371	3532 3749	Markey, John Nicholson, Marion	2719	610	3329
Barnes, James	170	80	250	O'Connor, Arthur	11,455	_	11,455
Burbury, William Crear, Johanna C	3600 1400	720	3600 2120	O'Callaghan, C Parker, A. T	550 1738	843	550 2581
Connell, John	1800	500	2300	Pillinger & Sons	4877	-	4877
Dowling, Edward	6074	2300	8374	Pyke, Eldon	617	-	617
Finlay, AlexFletcher, Mrs. D. S	9940	2000 720	$\begin{vmatrix} 11,940 \\ 4832 \end{vmatrix}$	Riggall, Thomas	2614 710	570 219	3184 929
Gibson, James	2574	1275	3849	Taylor, Robert	2160	380	2540
Gibson, W. H		847	2090	Taylor, John	$3244 \\ 2114$	1381 644	4625 2758
Gatenby's estate (Robert) Gatenby, John, jun	8012 3710	660 940	8742 4650	Taylor, David Taylor, James	2354	778	3132
Hewitt, H. S	.  3500	} — .	3500	Taylor, Adam	3530		3530
Headlam, Charles		950	4227 1600	Webb, Chas. J	1506 876	598	2104 876
Jones, Robert		1860	4875	Webb, Chas. J			
Jones, William	5950	700	6650		128,274	23,828	152,102
			CLÀR	ENCE.			
Allomes, John	.  60	1 20	1 80	Lewis, David, jun	575	131	706
Allomes, George	. 80	40	120	Lewis, Neil	511	375	886
Ashton, Hugh	2006	214	2220	Lamb, Henry	1140	420	1560
Buchanan, Peter	70 69	50 14	120 83	M'Rorie, Charles Morrisby, John Robert	$124 \\ 230$	33 130	15 <b>7</b> 360
Beauvais, Maria	. 10	6	16	Morrisby, George James	253	. 27	280
Chipman, Charles	386	48	434	M'Dermott, John	1000 200	200 60	1200 260
Calvert, John	100	28 40	76 140	May, William Newberry, John	54	30	84
Calvert, David and Christo-				Pedder, George J	20	10	30
pher Calvert, Watson	420 110	140 39	560 149	Potter, John Pearsall, James	70 135	30 75	100 210
Calvert, Mrs. H	40	20	60	Pearsall, W. M	33	11	44
Calvert, TCrane, George		 45	$\begin{array}{c c} 25 \\ 105 \end{array}$	Richardson, Joseph Reardon, Michael	180	$\frac{35}{2}$	215 4
Chapman, J. R.	30	10	40	Row, Alexander	19		19
Evans, F.	27	. 7	34	Stokell, Mrs.	65	34	99 852
Evans, Christopher Edwards, Frank		<u>ခ</u>	53 40	Stanfield, Daniel	60 <b>7</b> 49	245 1	50
Gorringe, Charles F	364	96	460	Watson, John	660	144	804
Garlick, David WGellibrand, Geo	30 23	13	30 36	Whelan, Thomas	40 191	$\begin{array}{c c} & 22 \\ & 108 \end{array}$	62 299
Johnson, Thomas J	270		270	Winspeare, W	30	5	35
Johnson, W	. 8	4	12	Young, William	120	64	184
Joseph, Arthur	25 40	10	25 50		10,729	3039	13,768
Joseph, George	30		30	·	,-		. ,
<del></del>		<u>'</u>	DELO	D A TALKI			
Atwell, John	30	, .	_	RAINE.	l 69	10	79
Abey, William	107	· 87	30 194 .	Byard, Thos. S	120	-	120
Bowman, F. J.	2288	982	3270	Badcock, Samuel	1000	220	1220
Bonney, William	100 306	60 92	160 398	Cole, Thomas	29 23	18 25	47 48
Bennett, James	510	<b>3</b> 90	900	Coffee, Richard	60	40	100
Bramich, T. W Bonnily, William, Mrs	168	$\frac{32}{15}$	200 69	Cameron, Norman	640 50	350	990 50
Bonnily, William, jun	423	203	626	Crowder, Chas	260	180	440
Bramich, John	48	37	85	Cook, Robert	37	19	56
Burgess, William	50 20	50 30	100 50	Cook, T. K Davern, John	$\begin{vmatrix} & 9 \\ & 65 \end{vmatrix}$	69	9 134
Barnard, William	60	30	90	Eastley, Richard	14	14	28
Bakes, W., jun	40	30	70	Ewington, John J	40 5599	4132	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 9664 \end{array}$
Burke, Michael	5 11	7 7	12 18	Field, John Fowler, Alexander	5532 100	72	172
Byard, James	19	13	37	Griffiths, Benjamin	46	30	76
Byard, James, jun	26	24	50	Gannon, Denis	1 200	100	300

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Тота
	·	DE	LORAIN	I E—cóntinued.	·		
dilbert, Philip	30	20	<sub>1</sub> 50	, Oliver, William	t 60	58	, 11
regory, Samuel	400	200	600	Orchards, William	25	23	4
ardner, Joseph	48 740	22	70 740	Phillips, Charles Picket, Glouster	200 24	100	30
łoodridge, John	300	200	500	Poole, Robert	81	38	11
low, William	. 61	40	101	Ritchie, James	350	210	56
How, W. R Low, Richard	61 200	24 53	85 253	Rose, David	233 35	67 25	30
Iow, James	238	138	376	Rookley, Mrs. Eliza	50	50	10
łughes, Joseph	. 101	80	181	Ritchie, James T	130	103	2
Iarvey, James	30	20	50 200	Roberts, Frederick	100	80	18
Iall, RobertIall, R. & J	150 120	100	200 220	Shorey, Samuel	11 50 ·	7 27	
Iall, David	139	101	240	Symmons, Robert	270	150	4
low, John	60	40	100	Smith, Robert	75	22	!
arman, Mrs. Mary arman, Josiah	40	30	70 17	Spright, John Smith, C. J. L	38 100	28 20	1:
essup, Thomas	16	. 8	24	Stubbs, Joseph		15	1
Cilleher, John	. 7	7	14	Tathill, James	130	70,	20
ing, Ernest	30	40	. 70	Turner, Joseph	220	110	3
Centon, Williamovejoy, James	23 460	24 250	47 710	Thirkell, James		92	2
each, James	. 100	50	150	Wilson, William	18	9	
ong, William	.  60	40	100	Wilson, Andrew, jun	100	40	1 1
ittle, Denzil Iunce, Robert H	294 393	175 239	469 632	Warner, Alfred	11 130	11 64	١,
Iitchell, William B	38	30	68	warren, monard			1:
Iorse, Ćhas	113	37	150		19,439	10,840	30,2
			EVAN	DALE.			
tkins, William	133	40	173	M'Cormack, Edw	, 24		, ,
rcher, J. & L	2400	250	2650	M'Gregor, Thomas		41	13
xton, Jas	50 62	50. 45	100 107	Mann, R	36 35	$\frac{4}{20}$	
tkins, W. E ryan, John	550	50	600	Owen, W	66	30	
artley, Arthur	995	205	1200	O'Brien, Jas. & Bros	24		}
loyes, James J	3187	900	4087	Pearson, John	770	350	11
ryan, G. Aaker, Thomas	530 102	220 16	. 750 118	Parker, Alfred Parker, A. T.	7072 3057	275	70°
oyd, Robert	150	,	150	Page, Samuel	1460	200	16
ell, Ann Jane	.80	48	128	Pyke, Mrs	160	43.	2
rumby, Mansfield	682 130	768 90	$\frac{1450}{220}$	Price, John Pinkard, S.	140 25	50	19
ell, Johnox, James		1562	4158	Pye, William	41	29	} :
ameron, Donald	18,100	4500	22,600	Peters, David	230	•••	2
ornish, John	750	150	900	Ralston, W. H	5041	2523	750
hilcott, Archibald Dean, Edward	280 400	70 420	350 820	Robotham, John	$2841 \\ 4425$	560 1652	340 60°
ouglas, R. H	36	420	36	Richardson, B.	50	1002	00
odery, William	240	20	260	Rouse, Thomas	100	50	1:
dwards, Christopher	80		80 24	Rose, Ann	31	10	6
all, Thomasalkiner, J. W. & R. H			6000	Reed, F. H.	164 1600	44 956	25 25
reeborough, Thos	95	•••	. 95	Stevenson, James	120	65	1:
libson, William & Son	4757	1244	6001	Stevenson, Mrs. M. J	1030	••• ~	103
ibson, Johnibson, James	1472	312	$1784 \\ 3004$	Smith, Peter Sutherland, Donald	20 35	5	
leadow, H. S. & R. S	261	196	457	Smith, John L	526	214	7
ilbert, Nicholas	50	•••	50	Scully, John	10	8	1
ee, Richard	156		156	Smith, Alex	50	30	1
ee, Henry lughes, Richard		12	58 35	Thompson, James	240 37	220 17	40
logarth, Marion		105	. 254	Turner, Thomas	100	. 60	10
lood, Richard	95	100	195	Trethewie, John W	4068	1163	523
loughton, F. J		19	109	Trethewie, W. J	3424	596	409
Iartnoll, William Iardman, Thomas	150 1666	140	290 2266	Tuck, Thomas	160 2380	140 760	30 314
Iardman, R	2406	1000	3406	Viney, James	898	540	143
larrison, R. W	12	•••	12	Whitehead, John	801	352	118
acobs, Charles irkwood, Wm		205	$\frac{663}{150}$	White, William	18 454	150	60
TERMINITED AVIII		50	100	Youl, Charles	3904	150 1112	60 501
				,		~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ittlejohn, David I'Kinnon, Donald		1200	6240	Youl, Alfred	1559	480	208
ittlejohn, David			6240 43 100	Youl, Alfred	1559 105,106	480 27,393	132,4

	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.
		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TIN	GAL.	<del></del>	- <del></del>	
Alford	Charles	0170	1 400 1				. 0"	150
	Charless (H. J.) Estate	2172 7.04	$\begin{vmatrix} 400 \\ 130 \end{vmatrix}$	2572 .834	Leggins, Wm	125 2232	· 25 80	150 2312
Alford,	F. A	211	20	231	M'Kenzie, William	1150	540	1690
Archer,	Daniel	. 5808	2400	8208	M'Kenzie, Peter	1980	316	2296
Adams,	E. J	724	42	<b>7</b> 66	M'Kenzie, John	2090	30	2120
	ee, John F	1696	529 500	$\frac{2225}{1400}$	Massey, John C	550 350	100	550 450
	, August & Woodbury	194	154	348	Marshall, Jas Nesbitt, Peter	2000	200	2200
Clifford	, Mary	.40		40	O'Connor, Arthur	12,950	5300	18,250
Cornish	ı, John	51	39	90	Oliver, Richard	300	230	530
	J. A	800	216	1016	Parker, Charles A	4813	1130	. 5943
	Edward P &	∴.20	•••	20	Reeves, Richard	3216	1124	4340
rauikin I W	er, Humphrey R &	3000	2000	5000	Ransom, Thomas	5308 7250	1252 1850	6560 9100
FitzGer	rald, W. H	2631	600	3231	Steel, John	880	300	1180
Groom,	Francis	-960	750	1710	Story, John	860	300	1160
Gruebe	r, Stephen H	<b>3</b> 500	800	4300	Stanfield, John	942	369	1311
	John	307	135	442	Stieglitz Brothers	3975.	200	4175
	w, H. H. & R. S , W. H	5005 2700	1000	6005 2700	Stieglitz, H. G Warland, Edmund	4876 60	940	5816 90
	enry H	2183	621	2700 2804	Wardlaw, Robert	1016		1016
Hepbur	n, James	2689	407	3096	Woodbury, Henry	182	68	250
Harper	, David	42	10	52		:	ĺ	
Hewitt,	, H. S	4100	3900	8000		100,000	00.007	100 000
Legge,	Robert V	2520	800	3320		100,062	29,837	129,899
			(	GEORG	E TOWN.	•		
Almond	l, Moses		10	42	Johnston, John		40	264
	n, James		200	600	Johnston, James	292	20	312
	, William		20	80	Jackson, Robert	210	98	308
	James & Edwin  , William	2580 730	248 230	2828 960	Joyce, J. A Kerrison, R. & S	30 527	110	30 637
	Thos. (Long Island)		80	530	Lambert, James	1000		1000
Brewer	, Alfred W	510	25	535	Long, James	109	3	112
Bowen,	Samuel	2962	48	3010	Lawton, William		800	3845
	Peter		80	1330	Lutwyche, Brereton Lathey, James	192 $1215$	45 259	237 1474
	's Estate (James)	1300	300	. 1600	M'Laine, John (Clark's	1220	200	1
Beedon	, Henry	200		200	Island)	2000	600	2600
	et, Chas. C		160	610	Malley, J. & T	. 220	60	280
Counse	l, Lawrence W	1240	268	1508	Morgan, William	3200	•••	3200
	l, Charles James		100 200	400 500	Newman, Thos Parker, Alfred	304 9415	1042	304 10,45 <b>7</b>
	stone, Henry, jun		300	1500	Proctor, John	1100		1100
Coope,	A. C	800		800	Peters, Thomas	366	6	372
Coward	l, Geo	400	•••	400	Proctor, John	. 40	20	60
Dally,	Job		100	300	Robinson, Henry (Tin	1===		7000
	ls, Chas	, 111	30	141	Kettle and Woody Island) Rockwell, Alfred	$1755 \\ 249$	205 120	1960 369
	C. (Southern Sisters d)	920	280	1200	Smith, Emma	160	115	275
	r Bros	1		650	Stonehouse, Thomas	1000	150	1150
Gardne	r & Taylor	150		150	Smith, Allen B	70	30	100
Hills, F	Ienry	1405	50	1455	Targett, Thomas	30	20	50
Harley,	Chas. (Cape Barren)	1020	500	1520	Taylor, Thos.	267	33	300
Hurst,	Thomas	28 50	16	44 50	Wood, Draper, & Co Wake, Alfred	$1181 \\ 1240$	. 900	$1281 \\ 2140$
	on, John		 154	837	White, A. D.	265	35	300
Hardm	an, Thos			4140	· · ·			
	ock, Jasohn	38 12	34	72 12		54,277	8244	62,521
	•							
Amos 1	Elizabeth	2250	250	GLAM ( 2500	ORGAN.	1100	480	1580
	Alfred J. & Louis	3200	600	2500 3800	Cotton, Arthur	1730	509	2239
	Adam J.	220	80	300	Cotton, Henry	2813	1000	3813
Amos, A	Alfred	1300	350	1650	Drake, Samuel T	300	50	350
Allen, A	Albert	140	60	200	Fox, Thomas	383	42	425
Allen, A	Inne	60	20	80	Gill, F. M	1660	20	1680
Butler,	Joseph, Charles	313	61	374 1905	Harvey, Henry	870 670	135 130	1005 800
KIDD IT IO.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1328	67	. 1395	Hume, Elizabeth	070	T90	900
Buckley Barber	Robert	• 40		40	Harrison, Richard	3000		3000

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.
		, G	' LAMOR	GAN—continued.	ı		
Hill, Frederick Jennings, Luke King, John W. & F. M. Gill Keefer, John Lyne, Frederick Lyne, Henry Lyne, John Lyne, William Lyne, Clarence J. Lyne, Albert Medlen, Nicholas Marshall, Henry Meredith, John Marshall, Roger Madsen, J. P.	100 63 1824 225 1800 900 1540 5339 2000 1400 54 700 5011 420 100	30 25 328 225 450 300 200 1212 500 930 6 100 742 180	130 88 2152 450 2250 1200 1740 6551 2500 2330 60 800 5753 600 112	Mitchell, Edwin Mitchell, Mark S. Radford, John Robertson, Alex Robertson, Adam Shaw, Frederick Stieglitz, C. H Thornbury, William Wright, Thomas Watson, Robert Webb, John Wardlaw, Robert	1880 3494 1906 200 700 1819 497 700 40 1020 166 2720	380 670 140 52 100 493 29 156 14 297 47 600	2210 4164 2046 252 800 2312 526 850 850 851 213 3320
			GREAT	LAKE.			
Brown, Nicholas J  Downie, William & Sons Flexmore, Oscar  Headlam, Charles  Hadden, James	3620 7420 7570 17,676 3531	     1725	3620 7420 7570 17,676 5256	Morrison, Andrew	2357 8169 4260 4580	 	2357 8169 4260 4580
Keach, G. W	2257 2824	- -	2257 2824		64,264	1725	65,989
		. (	REEN	PONDS.			
Bisdee, Mrs. E. R.  Beard, Nathaniel  Bowman, A. P.  Blackwell, Samuel  Brock, W.  Bessier, John & W.  Dowling, Alfred  Flexmore, Oscar  Foster, James  Gorringe, Thomas  Hadden, James  Johnson, William, sen  Johnson, Edmund  Jones, Benjamin  Johnson, Joseph  Jones, Henry  Kirwin, Thomas  Munro, William	1938 200 1800 25 800 29 1500 250 28 756 1261 515 4000 370 850 1422 14	610 100 600 — 17 508 1450 — 376 — 1200 — 145 282 — 140	2548 300 2400 25 800 46 2008 1700 28 1132 1261 552 5200 370 495 1704 14 558	Nicholls, R. J	19 1590 143 62 120 4920 87 200 11 212 4354 616 3000 220 100 48	8 440 113 46 72 1100 80 120 100 859 270 100 50 24	27 2030 256 108 192 5020 167 320 11 312 5213 886 3000 320 150 72
	- / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		GLENC	RCHY.			
Bilton, Henry Brent, F. F. Cameron, D. Cronan, Jeremiah Elias, Henry Forsythe, J. & J. Hull, H. J. & J. D. Lord, C. J. C. Morrisby, Tasman Miller, Charles	198 100 40 94 9 31 8 59 40 45	46 60 -20 6 11 2 33 32 5	244 160 40 114 15 42 10 92 72 50	Murray, William Pell, T. G. Rennie, William Stanfield, T. W. Triffet, Edward Trlyard, John Wilkinson, John Young, Heury.	2 44 50 137 85 98 18 70	11 20 25 10 42 8 70	2 55 70 162 95 140 26 140
			HAMI	LTON.			
Abel, John, senr  Bedford, William Byrne, John Brown, Nicholas J  Bethune, John C  Bethune, W. R. M  Bethune, J. R. D  Bryant, James  Browning, James	430 2000 250 4372 2685 2869 2534 800 52	185 	613 2000 280 6372 3201 3389 3122 1000 92	Butler, J. J.  Byrne, James, jun  Bisdee, Winchester Clarke, Joseph Cashion, William Clarke, James Downie, William & Sons Dixon, W. K.  Eyles, George	2857 20 3259 17,889 102 400 2510 6950 194	530 — 1021 4121 34 220 2215 1400 122	3387 20 4280 22,010 136 620 4725 8350 316

Annual Park and Allen and Annual Park	Sheep	Lambs	en en la tra-		Sheep	Lambs	<del></del>
Names.	returned, 1882.	returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	returned, 1882.	returned, 1882.	TOTAL.
		HA	MILTO	N—continued.			
Gellibrand, Walter A. B	13,600	2250	15,850	Matthews, James	809	341	1150
Hallett, Chas	684	270	954	M'Carthy, Peter	24	12	36
Hills, Joseph	8 105	25	8 130	Marzetti, C. T. H Nicholas, Henric	654 $16,552$	576 5277	1230 $21,829$
Jones, Robert J. & W	6943	1750	8693	Nicholas, Edward, jun	3000		3000
Jackson, Henry	100.	. 50	150	Parsons, Cecil J	3820	1375	5195
Jones, Chas. T	4345 3300	550	4895	Sibley, William	325	. 81	406
King, JohnLangdon, William	5000	806     1200	4106 6200	Triffett, John F	$\begin{array}{c} 312 \\ 640 \end{array}$	120 530	432 1170
Langdon, Albert	200	100	300	Webberley, Isaac	70	70	140
Lane, James, sen	410	90	500	Walker, John F	3300	1000	4300
Langdon, William Lawrenny Estate	34 9550	2609	34 $12,159$	Webberley, Thos	100 9	<sub>7</sub>	100 16
Lane, James Thos	420	. 80	500	Wood, Geo., jun			
M'Dowall, A	1881	1183	3064		126,368	34,092	160,460
		·	НОБ	TON.	<del></del> _	! <u> </u>	<del>'</del>
Atkinson, Thomas	25	15	40	Medwin, Margaret	50	20	70
Anderson, S. J	12		12	Medwin, Mathias	40	40	80
Borrodale, William Boatwright, Wm	60 50	10 40	70 90	Medwin, Edwin	55 258	$65 \\ 154$	$\frac{120}{412}$
Burke, Michael	51		51	Morey, Jacob	173	— 10±	173
Boyd, Alfred H	60		60	M'Kenzie, H. B	30	40	70
Breheney, Thomas	27	20	47	Moore and Quiggin	160		160
Breheney, Michael	32 50	15	47 50	M'Kenzie, Walter J	148 28	$\begin{array}{c} 156 \\ 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 304 \\ 42 \end{array}$
Collins, John Bennett	3	6	9	Ollington, Thomas	100		100
Cross, Thomas	13	13	26	Ollington, William	41	30	71
Carroll, Patrick	10	5	15	O'Connor, Kenneth	40	30	70
Dallas, Robert	36 48	42	. 36 90	Powlett, John	70 58	· 30 37	100 95
Deavin, William			50	Poke, William	18		. 18
Daniman, Tasman	41	12	53	Reid, William J. C	30	20	50
Ferguson, John A	406	118	524	Reid, James H	363	157	520
Ford, Frederick W Ford, Charles F	$950 \\ 210$	452 164	$\frac{1402}{374}$	Stuchbury, Thomas W Smith, J. W. Norton	59 4 <b>7</b> 50	21 1290	80 6040
Hills, Henry	162	2	164	Smith, Charles T	280	120	400
House, J. S	110	65	175	Smith, Joseph	28	12	40
Hills, Henry Hill, Robert	$158 \\ 40$	137	295 50	Spinks, Benjamin Shekleton, Alex	68 272	74 200	142 472
Jones, William	300	10 200	500	Smedley, Ann	51	10	61
King, James George	196	90	286	Smith, John	. 22	6	28
King, Thomas J. B	192	96	288	Wells, T. P	54	. —	54
Kay, Albert B	476	- ,	476 3	Wells, Henry W Wells, W. J	152 · 47	114 37	266
King, Betsy King, Thomas	51	_ 1	51	Williams, W	56	50	84 106
Lucas, William	72	54	126				200
Lewis, Thomas	580	-	580		70.007	400	10.000
Lyons, Michael H	27	13	40	•	12,001	4307	16,308
		OBART	AND	KINGBOROUGH.			
Bayley, James	29	20	49	Pybus, Richard	225	40	265
Davis, James Denne, Henry T	400 100	100 . 50	500 150	Young, Samuel T	1750 349	300 50	2050 399
Denne, Darcy C.	252	43	295	Whayman, Rd. O	169	31	200
Grove, John Wm	14	. 3	17	,			
Hale, Mrs.	245	55	300	-			1200
Johnson, Archibald	444	67	511		3977	759	4736
:	,	,	HU	ON.			
Allen, H. J.	5		5	Griffiths, Alfred	110	$\frac{20}{2}$	130
Barnett, Thomas Bird, Rev. B. S	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 20 \end{array}$	11 17	26 37	Herring, F	5 30	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}$	7 47
Boyer, Chas.	$\tilde{24}$	7	31	Kellaway, J. W	883	259	1142
Clark, James	68	26	· 94	Linnell, John	129	76	205
Cuthbert, William	52	28	80 600	Newbon, William	6	2	8
Dean, R. W Devereux, Philip	490 200	110 100	600 300	Parsons, Silas G	100 71	40	140 71
Davis, Thomas	1085	210	1295	Wallace, Wm	100	100	200
Davis, George	600	100	700	Wright, W	80	<u></u>	80
Francombe, Mrs. Thomas Jabez	44		44	Walshe, Thos	90	60	150
Griggs, George	37	 l5	52	,	4244	1200	5444
·							

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Тота
				1.		<del></del>	
*			LON	GFORD.			
rcher, Joseph	7485	1274	8759	Heath, Thomas	11	_	1
rcher, W. H. D	4526	1540	6066	Hortle, T. W	220	30	2
rcher, Alfred	2499	677	3176	Herbert, Charles	70	50	1
rcher, Basil	7844	949	8793	Hughes, John	80	175	2
rcher, Robert Joseph	5137 5889	$1823 \\ 854$	6960 6743	Ives, William	20 30	15	
rcher, Daniel rmstrong, Alexander	70		70	Jordan, James Jordan, John	58		
rthur, George	20	. —	20	Keane, James	1203	344	15
yton, George	.38	20	58	Ling, James	20	_	ļ
rmstrong, William	275	130	405	Lansdell, Isaac	95	85	1
rmstrong, Thomas	30 : - 130	$\begin{array}{c c} 26 \\ 100 \end{array}$	56 230	Lawrence, Effingham B	2385	665 86	30
rumby, Charlesrumby, Alfred	385	265	650	Lee, William Lee, Samuel	140 42	30	
rumby, John	41	40	81	Lee, Charles	30	30	
rumby, Mansfield	45	27	. 72	Lee, John	40	33	
rumby, Samuel	254	170	424	Lucas, Daniel	12	_	
artlett, Thomas	29 80	39	$\frac{29}{119}$	Lawson, Henry	240 150	24 80	š
ird, Josepheckett, Richard	46	30	76	Lucas, Chas Ling, Elijah	150	132	2
rooks, Daniel	6		6	Mason, Henry	1144	700	18
urton, John C	130	-	130	Murfett, David	30	20	
urton, Charles	23		23	Murfett, James	36	20	
lackwell, H	21 17	17	38	Murfett, Robert	6 167	4	ດ
urk, Conradarker, A	34	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	20 38	M'Kenzie, Alexander Mitchelson, Patrick	190	89 110	$\frac{2}{3}$
urton, Frederick	40	35	<b>75</b>	M'Kinnon, A. M.	940	400	13
ennett, Miles	200	50	250	Murnane, Edw.	32	_	-
artlett, Samuel	60	12	72	Masters, George	152	60	2
utterfield, Francis	40	30	70	M'Bain, Alexander	34	34	
everidge, William lair, John	$\frac{98}{324}$	46 190	144 514	M'Baine, Jas	293 82	200 48	4
ox, George	528	336	864	O'Connor, Arthur	7140	150	72
happle, John	80	}	,80	Pitt, William	510	40	5
hilcott, William	100	50	150	Parker, A. C	6145	1510	76
ullen, Charles	89	7=	89	Pye, Henry	64	9	,
orney, Arthur Nonn, John	200 90	150 50	$\frac{350}{140}$	Paul, Isaac Pitt, William	30 40	30	,
allen, John	64	_	64	Price, Samuel	45	_	
ampbell, A. & A	102	_	102	Rudd, John	· 13	13	
ryden, John	400	200	600	Ransom, Samuel James	220		2
odery, William	783	347	1130	Ross, Sarah	117	14	1
argavel, Robert & William	1056 472	200 30	1256 502	Ruppin, John Strickland, William	60 21	 14	
umaresq, H. Rastoe, David	90	40	130	Saltmarsh, Richard	300	47	3
astoe, James	12		12	Saltmarsh, James	300	200	5
mery, George	10	10	20	Stancombe, Thomas	1442	120	15
aulkner, H. R. & J. W	600	400	1000	Shipp, Henry	60		,
eld, Williametcher, F. T	$\frac{3214}{120}$	1120 45	$\begin{array}{c} 4334 \\ 165 \end{array}$	Smith, William Skirving, Andrew	110 75	10 65	1
rancombe, Joseph	76	20	96	Summers, Thomas	18	<del></del>	1
etcher, Mrs. D. S	1425	1103	2528	Scott, Alexander	70	34	1
ancombe, Chas	20	8	28	Spencer, William	57	41	
ibson, William, & Son	2877	911	3788	Scolyer, R	11	9	,
reen, Jamesoss, Thomas	1354 40	286 20	$\begin{array}{c} 1640 \\ 60 \end{array}$	Sturges, Isabella Spencer, George	102 101	50 40	1
oss, James	55	36	91	Smith, Henry	66	60	1
oss, William	116	56	172	Thirkell, James	5766	3800	95
oss, John	72	64	136	Toosey, James Denton	3715	785	45
oody, Isaac	35 999 <i>6</i>	20	55 4050	Terry, Mrs. M. A.	93	24	1
atenby, Johnatenby, William	$\frac{3326}{2072}$	730   660	$\frac{4056}{2732}$	Tubbs, Daniel	45 255	168	4
atenby, Williamatenby, Herbert	4152	1320	$\begin{array}{c} 2732 \\ 5472 \end{array}$	Wright, Thomas	80	60	1
regory, George	680	200	880	Ward, Anthony	25	10	· -
atenby, Ernest	840	-	840	Wiley, Jervis	110	10	1
arcie, Thos	11	5	16	Woolnough, John	40	20	
oward, James	26 199	. 20	46	Wise, Robert	120	80	2
all, Johnopkins, Rebecca	122 100	46 80	168 180	Wheeler, William	36 40	$\frac{-}{24}$	
loward, William	9	10	19		207	~=	
unter, M. E	116	96	212				
ingston, William J	22	· 22	44	I	96,818	28,043	124,8

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1382.	Total.
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	
			· TTDXX7: NT	OD HOT IF		•	
A7 -7 T-3	700:	r	•	ORFOLK.	. 610	, zeo	400
Abel, John, sen.  Allwright, Thos	100 1200	400	100 1600	Moore, J. A	318 7	$\begin{bmatrix} & 170 \\ - & \end{bmatrix}$	488 7
Adáms, HenryBarker, R.	28. 20	_	28 20	Pilkington, William Pilcher, F. R. F	$\frac{120}{105}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 40 \\ 32 \end{array}$	160 137
Cockerill, Chas. Doran, William	70 260	140	70 400	Read, Kobert C	650 3036	250 855	900 ° 3891
Dean, William	3080	400	3480	Shone, Thomas A	100	50	150
Davis, William Downie, W., & Sons	17 37		27 37	Shoobridge Brothers Sharland, W. C	4220 900	820	5040 900
Garrard, Rev. Thomas Inge, George	33°	1 15	3 48	Terry, E. W Triffett, F. J	20 430	170	20 600
Jeffrey, Maurice T	192	60	252	Terry, John L	191	64	255
Jeffrey, Rufus Lloyd, Chas. G. H.	60 500	43	103 500	Wilson, Frederick L Willson, Daniel	1040 80	294	$\begin{array}{c} 1334 \\ 120 \end{array}$
M'Gregor, Major-General W. E.	1936	468	2404	Williams, Robert Young, Jas. H	$245 \\ 152$	56 48	301 200
Murray, Rev. W. W. F		8 70	19 <b>14</b> 0				
Martin, Thomas	'0	10	140		19,230	4504	23,734
•							
			O A TOT	ANDO			
3.			4	ANDS.			
Agnew, C. S	4933	$\frac{412}{-}$	5345 50	Kermode, W. A Lyne, Louis W.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2027 \\ 1581 \end{vmatrix}$	621	202 <b>7</b> 220 <b>2</b>
Archer, Edward		300	2100 744	Lord, John Lodge, Joseph	1429 150	356 60	1785 210
Barwick, T. G	150	50	200	Lord, John Carr	2350	800	3150
Barwick, Thomas Bisdee, Alfred H		2962	1178 8643	Littlechild, Thomas	1820	750 40	2570 100
Bacon, Honora		4560	350 19,540	Morrison, Andrew Maclanachan, James	6311 2035	1725 1205	803 <b>6</b> 324 <b>0</b>
Bidgood, James	3160	445	3605	Meaburn, Mrs	230	130	360
Bailey, John Beven, Thomas	130	100	$\frac{36}{230}$	M'Auliffe, Mrs. Bridget Mitchell, F. W	1070	600	80 1670
Bailey, Thomas Beven, Michael		58 200	211 800	Norman, R. E	1173 1420	462 360	163 <b>5</b> 1780
Byers, James Bailey, John	. 28	28 38	56 150	O'Connor, Arthur O'Brien, Patrick	11,505 18	3300 10	14,805 28
Brown, Thomas	650	430	1080 .	Page, Sydney	8600	. 1800	10,400
Baker, George Campbell, Peter	50	42	92.	Page, Samuel	2550 2425	650 3116	3200 5541
Campbell, Rev. L	73	27 30	. 100 60	Palmer, George Powell, Sarah	460 57	190 28	650 85
Cleary, John	14	14	28	Powell, William	456	52	508
Campbell, J. G	6138	550 1807	1645 7945	Roe, John Rowland Rubens, George	2200 200	584 130	2784 330
Easton, Edward Exton, Wm	2600 408	400 110	3000 518	Rowland, John	63 484	37 240	100 724
Farrelly, Bryan Fisher, Joseph & E. W	66	40	106 250	Sutton, Charles	37 900	500	37 1400
Gason, Francis	50	22	72	Scott, Alexander	1000	500	1500
Greenlaw, PeterGuthrie, Patrick	300	40 70	340 210	Savage, Thos. Humphrey. Scott, Mungo	2582 64	450	3032 <sub>1</sub>
Gatenby, ArthurGibson, James	712	416 774	1128 2301	Stonehouse, Wm	1540 65	260 60	1800 12 <b>5</b>
Gason, John	60	40	100	Wilson, George	5560	2200	7760
Hart, Henry	3235	800 800	73 4035	Weeding, James	1865 660	703 200	2568 860
Headlam, Charles	4689	1394 900	6083 3848	Wilson, Thomas	102		. 112 6
Headlam, H.E	6800	1180	7980	Willitt, Joseph	28	22	50
Hughes, James	70 1200	800	$\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 2000 \end{array}$	Woods, Oliver White, John E.	160	45 40	205 140
Hampton, Thomas	. 50	49 200	99 <b>43</b> 2	Wilson, John	1369 2129	240 329	,1609 2458
Holdsforth, Thomas	52	32	84	Wills, C. J.	62	2	64
Hall, F. B	13	270	$1500 \\ 22$	Weeding, James	140	80 55	220 155
Jones, John	900	300 28	1200 195	Webster, William	40	20	60
Jones, William	10,470	2609	13,079		140 707	40.004	105 100
Jones, T. A	4		4	•	149,105	46,094	195,199

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total.
	1		PORT	SORELL.		'	l
Addison, Edwin		-	50	Jupp, Peter	15	11	26
Arnott, HenryArnott, Robert		5	14 28	Kenner, R. W King, Thomas	14 55	14 25	28 80
Addison, Thomas	. 55	45	100	Kelcey, S	196	80	276
Bramich, Joseph, jun			25 8	Kenna, John WLillico, Hugh	9 7	5 7	14 14
Bramich, Joseph, sen Bramich, John		25	60	Lillico and Grant	116	82	198
Beveridge, Robert	. 120	<del>-</del>	120	Lillico, Thomas	130	70	200
Baker, Edwin		196	1181 40	Lancaster, Robert Leman, Jacob	25 48	$\begin{array}{c c} 26 \\ 24 \end{array}$	51 72
Bonney, James Bonney, Thomas		92	192	Manley, Robert	135	101	236
Brothers, David	40	40	80	Martin, William	102	50	152
Brown, James Burgess, Bernard		24 20	70 60	Morse, James	190 40	40	190 80
Bates, George	12	4	16	Morse, George	. 80		80
Braid, William	.  30	25	55	M'Kenna, Jas	40	40	80
Baker, W. B Boutcher, E		10 24	37 49	Mulligan, Elizabeth Mills, John	25 25	20 15	45 40
Brown, John	. 28	20	48	M'Culloch, Jas	32	18	50
Buck, George	. 34	20 52	54 102	M'Claren, Duncan	36 100	24 30	60 130
Bryan, Geo Boutcher, James		40	90	M'Culloch, George	24	- 50	24
Brown, J. T	23		23	M'Culloch, Peter	70	40	110
Button, W. B		22 70	$\begin{array}{c} 52 \\ 140 \end{array}$	M'Culloch, Hugh	49 104	38   65	87 169
Butt, James Brown, Robert			28	Marquis, Donald	8	7	15
Counsel, Lawrence	108	100	208	Mason, William	32	24	56
Carstairs, John & A Chamberlain, H. J		— 15	510 1 <b>74</b>	Morris, William	. 29 50	25 30	54 80
Claridge, William		40	100	Moore, William	26	34	60
Craigie, Andrew	21	11	32	Maddox, F	43	16	59
Crawford, Stephen Crawford, Fredk		116 21	216 40	M'Innes, Lachlan	20 96	15 80	35 176
Clarke, Thomas M		43	105	Nibbs, William	-30	-	30
Callaghan, Patrick		`59	117	Oldaker, Francis	29	50	29 195
Clark, Eli Carey, Joseph		65 23	145 35	Powell, John	145 32	27	59
Clarke, Charles	135	99	234	Parker, John	27	29	56
Cowle, T. P. and F. W		145	285 18	Polden, Thomas	15 26	9 5	24 31
Cooper, Henry Clements, Thos	۱ ۵۵	_	60	Ponsonby, Miles de H	53	25	78
Dumbleton, Major A. V	342	72	414	River Don Trading Co	50	-,,	50
Douglas, Peter J Dick, Robert		140 10	340 20	Riggs, John	9 13	$\begin{vmatrix} & 12 \\ & 11 \end{vmatrix}$	21 24
Dyer, John		10	. 26	Riggs, Henry	60	1	60
Duff, John	.  50	26	<b>7</b> 6	Riggs, John, Estate		49	16 106
Eagle, John Excell, William, senr		7 14	32 25	Risby, Andrew F	57 100	100	200
Findlay, A. & W	. 11	5	16	Rockliff, Henry	130	41	171
Grealey, Thomas		8	10 18	Rockliff, George Rockliff, John	86 100	80 1 104	166 204
Good, David Brothers	1	45	100	Rockliff, Fredk	86	67	153
Groom, W. S	48	50	98	Rockliff, Francis	40	22	62
Graham, Jonathan Glover, Frederick		13 2	31 8	Rockliff, Francis Robson, David	40	36 34	76 80
Golding, Reeve		100	200	Reid, John	8	8	16
Greenhill, A	25	23	48	Russell, John	85	50	135
Garrard, N. H Goold, Mrs. Rhoda		100 40	300 92	Stewart, R. D Spurr, James	64	20 28	8 <del>4</del>   59
Green, James	66	18	$8\overline{4}$	Shaw, Thomas	40	25	65
Haydon, Thos	45	20	65	Smith, James		248	749
Hay, David W Hales, James		50	7 -110	Shields, Isabella Smith, W	43 60		53. 60
Hardy, T. W	42	38	80	Stott, Joseph	38	_	38
Husband, James and John	. 168	182 24	350 44	Sullivan, Edward Smith, John		38	40 70
Hudson, W Hearps, J	1	4	32	Schmidt, Joseph F		23	90
Hogben, Jas	3	8	11	Stebbings, —	28	-,	28
Hudson, John		30 25	61 50	Stevenson, James	23 76	12 80	35 156
Hutton, William Herrick, James			60	Trebilcock, W. J	50	-	50
Harrison, George	.30		30	Trebilcock, Thomas	60	70	130
Hamilton, Alexander Hauton, H		26 	60 42	Thomson, Peter	22 90	$\begin{array}{c c} & 12 \\ 60 \end{array}$	34
Ingram, George	80	52	132	Taxworth, John	16	24	40
Ingram, Giles T	43	49	92 65	Templar, John		11 8	- 21 30
Jones, W. R	30	35	65	Thompson, W	22	1 g	· 30

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	ToTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.
		POR'	r sore	LL—continued.	, —, —, —,		•
Warner, LtCol. A. H	70 50 62 15 350 66	33 32 10 46 21 — 57 180 45 95 28	113 102 60 108 36 350 123 350 116 278 60 30	Whiley, William Whiley, G. Woodroffe, A. G. Walker, William Winter, William Williams, William Young, A. Yaxley, Thomas Young, John	30 70 20 60 30 160 68 44 73	30   11 40 40 36 36 36	60 70 20 60 41 200 108 80 109
		,	<u> </u>				
Archer, Charles Brown, Matthew Ingle and Thomas Riggall Bayles, J. & R. Bayles, Joseph Burbury, William Brown, William Bennett, W. H. Barwick, Joseph Bisdee, A. H.	3022 3924 5000 1350 2000 9958 2566 161 1900	500 568 560 350 500 1500 587 26	3522 4492 5500 1700 2500 11,458 3153 187 1900	Headlam, Charles Keach, G. W Leake, Arthur Parramore, George Parramore, Thomas Riggall, Thomas Scott, George Wilson, George	4920 4194 475 3080 3200 721 8571 1800	4200 1522  720 796  1325 40 13,134	9120 5716 475 3800 3996 721 9896 1840
			RICHI	MOND.			
Burn, Mrs. Eliza.  Broadribb, William, sen.  Butcher, Miss Martha Brain, Wm. Brain, John Broadribb, Henry James Brock, H. J.  Briggs, Henry. Blackburn, Wm. T. Beven, Edward Cleburne, Mrs. Harriet Cosgrove, Thos. D. Daniels, John Ellis, Vincent Charles Flexmore, K. and A. Forsythe, James Goodwin, R. C. Gregory, John. Grieves, William Greenlaw, William Hodgson, William Harrison, Thomas Howlett, Alfred Hayton, William Hodgson, Joseph Hughes, D. H. Haig, J. T. Ibbott, George Iles, John and William	433 700 1210 640 868 300 1600 6 19 48 708 204 20 2624 6800 250 288 40 70 320 1200 300 607 150 674 800 26 2760 1600	254 152 263 160 140 20 900 	687 852 1473 800 1008 320 2500 6 21 48 918 304 8500 250 396 40 95 320 1900 348 632 300 744 1000 48 3560 2400	Iles, Thomas. Iles, Henry Johnson, Thomas J. Johnson, T., junior. Kearney, Edwin King, Denis Lord, E. R. Luttrell, Robert F. M'Donald, John R. M. Montgomery, Hugh M'Call, Duncan Monaghan, Patk. Newnham, Henry Ogilvy, A. J. Pulley, George P. Parker, Alfred Rumney, Walter. Robertson, Alexander Roach, William Stokell, George, jun. Simmons, Winston C. Searle, Charles. Smith, James. Sargeant, John Thomas, Evan. Young, Robert. Zantack, Fredk.	2875 1611 460 72 24 100 24 80 480 78 19 34 150 1589 204 8824 175 51 20 680 340 729 365 320 60 808 50	365 900 130 28 4 40 — 40 20 30 — 6 50 850 110 — 80 21 20 170 160 231 274 80 40 532 30	2740 2511 590 100 28 140 24 120 500 108 19 40 200 2439 314 824 255 72 40 850 639 400 100 1340 80
			SOR	ELL.			
Allison, W. R	2 33 33 50 210 674 29	400 36 32 40 — 16 6 30 92 202 22 120	2700 116 90 100 2 49 39 38 302 876 51 300	Blackwood, Archibald Bellett, G. W	50   11   283   54   57   200   142   40   660   303   60   700	39   113   43   80   60   12   400   40   50   310	89 11 396 54 100 280 202 52 1000 343 110

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total,	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total.
			OPELL				
				—continued.			
Dear, W. S Eayles, George D		177 19	932 89	Marshall, Edward Marshall, George, jun	300 150	140	440 150
Fenner, Joseph		5	16	Marshall, David, sen	400		400
Featherstone, George J	200	120	320	Morris, William	75	25	100
Gatehouse, John		3	132	M'Guinness, Walter	400	120	520
Grierson, William	616	200	816 287	Newitt, William	240 177	100 125	340 302
Grant, Robert	276 150	11	150	Newitt, Ephraim Newitt, Ephraim, jun	600	200	800
Grierson, John	40	32	72	Norman, R. E	638	_	638
Gangell, Jacob	630	90	720	Parker, Alfred	<b>3</b> 033	1049	4082
Hodgson, Joseph		400	1100	Paul, George	7 56	5	12 56
Henwood, William Hunt, Henry	274	100	374 47	Parker, W Rowland, John, jun	30	_	3
Hunt, George	30	30	- 60	Reardon, Edward	22	18	40
Hayton, George	73	31	104	Riley, Geo	10	2	12
Hildyard, Geo		136 400	550	Read, Henry E. B	788 860	403 100	1191 960
Hazell, Chas. D., jun		44	1650 696	Steele, Frederick C Schofield, Samuel	6	6	12
Hildyard, David	60	20	80	Steele, John, & Rogers, Fred.	800	201	1001
Heane, Alexander	300	180	480	Scrimger, James	1650	350	2000
Iles, Thomas	900	100	900	Tunbridge, John	100 69	50 56	150 125
Jones, James Joseph, James	154	106 400	260 1000	Wood, William Walker, John	30	15	45
Joseph, Thomas	660	220	880	White, James	13	_	13
Jenkins, Thomas W	2180	720	2900	Wiggins, T., jun	34	10	44
Jenkins, W. C.	50	40	90	Williams, Edward	30 126	20 40	50 166
Jamieson, David N Kingston, Charles	1480	. 400 50	1880 158	Wright, F. E Willard, S.	9		100
Lloyd, Edward	343	65.	408			<b> </b>	
M'Guinness, Hugh, jun		47	150		30,159	9240	39,399
M'Guinness, William	, 69	16	85		,		<b>'</b>
· ·			COT	· DX			·
				LBY.			
Adams, James	1050 4985	710	1050 5695	Grubb, MarianeGould, G. F.	614	120 38	734 96
Ayre, R. M	l	56	184	Garner, Jacob	15		15
Adams, John	304	188	492	Goodger, James	1524	340	1864
Atkinson, W.		14	70	Genders, Joseph	55	16	71
Batterham, Robert Bell, William Thomas	70 139	35 54	105 193	Hill, Frank Hill, Amelia T	285 164	95 56	380 220
Barnes, William		480	3284	Harris, Thos. Rev	134	66	200
Briggs, Henry	70	40	110	Hazlewood, Joseph	320	180	500
Bayles, Joseph		l. —	50 <b>70</b>	Hills, John	470 590	130 210	600 800
Bartley, D Bold, William		50	150	Herd, Henry	1200	600	1800
Barrett, John		_	20	Hogarth, Thomas	<b>7</b> 50	110	860
Box, J. A	397	50	447	Henderson, George	140	_	140
Boutcher, John		8	72 38	Hillier, Robert	900 900	300	1200
Barnard, Thomas		20	439	Joyce, A. J	125	125	250
Bonner, E. H	.] 28	12	40	Jetson, Robert	122	30	152
Coulson, William Paton		300	1700	Jetson, John	114	92	206
Cameron, Duncan		200 70	$\begin{array}{c} 1200 \\ 232 \end{array}$	James, William	100	68 6	168 12
		107	254	Jones & Storrer	988	177	1165
Campben, 1 nomas and J	.( 147						22
Campbell, Thomas and J Cartledge, John	640	70	710	M'Bean, John	22		
Cartledge, John	640 668	<u> </u>	668	M'Gilp, Dougald	81	70	151
Cartledge, John	640 668 72	. 58	668 130	M'Gilp, Dougald	81 82	40	151 122
Cartledge, John	640 668 72 438	<u> </u>	668	M'Gilp, Dougald	81		151
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert	640 668 72 438 30 20	58 182	668 130 620 54 20	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G Machen, Robert Murray, David	81 82 191 80 604	40 114 —	151 122 305 80 604
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter	640 668 72 438 30 20 . 38	58 182 24 —	668 130 620 54 20 38	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert	81 82 191 80 604 11	40 114 — 50	151 122 305 80 604 61
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel	640 668 72 438 30 20 38	58 182	668 130 620 54 20	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur	81 82 191 80 604	40 114 —	151 122 305 80 604
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237	58 182 24 ——————————————————————————————————	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M. Plummer, Jonathan	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40	40 114 — 50 750 —	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth Fry, Charles	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237	250 31 30	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237 100	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M. Plummer, Jonathan Pinner, David	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40 1151 40	40 114 — 50 750 — 149 30	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300 70
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth Fry, Charles Fahey, Michael	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237 70	58 182 24 — 250 31 — 30 140	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237 100 300	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M. Plummer, Jonathan Pinner, David Parker, A. T.	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40 1151 40 2826	40 114 — 50 750 — 149 30 518	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300 70 3344
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth Fry, Charles	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237 70 160 307	250 31 30	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237 100	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M. Plummer, Jonathan Pinner, David	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40 1151 40	40 114 — 50 750 — 149 30	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300 70
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth Fry, Charles Fahey, Michael Faulkiner, John Grubb, Charles B. Griffiths, Henry, jun	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237 70 160 307 3363 200	58 182 24 — 250 31 — 30 140 200 965 110	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237 100 300 507 4328	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M Plummer, Jonathan Pinner, David Parker, A. T. Peck, G. & S. Rawkin, Wm. Roberts, Robert H.	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40 1151 40 2826 200 40 60	40 114 — 50 750 — 149 30 518 30 19	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300 70 3344 230 59
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Caswell, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth Fry, Charles Fahey, Michael Faulkiner, John Grubb, Charles B. Griffiths, Henry, jun. Griffiths, Henry, sen	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237 70 160 307 303 303 200 45	58 182 24 — 250 31 — 30 140 200 965	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237 100 300 507 4328 810 75	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M Plummer, Jonathan Pinner, David Parker, A. T. Peck, G. & S. Rawkin, Wm. Roberts, Robert H. Rankin, James	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40 1151 40 2826 200 40 60 35	40 114 — 50 750 — 149 30 518 30 19 —	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300 70 3344 230 60 60
Cartledge, John Caswell, Samuel Campbell, John Caswell, John Calvert, John Dutton, Rupert Donauf, Peter Falkner, Daniel Farquhar, Alexander Fletcher, Melmoth Fry, Charles Fahey, Michael Faulkiner, John Grubb, Charles B. Griffiths, Henry, jun	640 668 72 438 30 20 38 221 25 237 70 160 307 363 200 45	58 182 24 — 250 31 — 30 140 200 965 110	668 130 620 54 20 38 471 56 237 100 300 507 4328	M'Gilp, Dougald M'Lennon, Donald Murray, F. G. Machen, Robert Murray, David Murphy, Robert O'Connor, Arthur O'Mara, M Plummer, Jonathan Pinner, David Parker, A. T. Peck, G. & S. Rawkin, Wm. Roberts, Robert H.	81 82 191 80 604 11 2120 40 1151 40 2826 200 40 60	40 114 — 50 750 — 149 30 518 30 19	151 122 305 80 604 61 287C 40 1300 70 3344 230 59

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.	Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.
			SELB	Y—continued.		'	•
Sheridan, Patrick Somerville, John Simson, G. T. Sulzberger, Gottlieb Sulzberger, Gottfried Sulzberger, Jacob Spillane, Patrick Skipper, John Tyson, William Tyson, Frank Tucker, Thomas	50 105 14 110 118 84 20 200 66 10 310	45 10 53 — — — 30 29 145	50 150 24 163 118 84 20 200 96 39 455	Tattersall, John West, J. C. Wotton, Thomas. Wilson, William Wake, William Winter, Robert Wilson, Forster White, Charles	22. 400 635 46 61 80 104 61	200 155 20 18 — 32 42	22 600 790 66 79 80 136 103
<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		, ·		
A 11 STF-114	0.0			G BAY.	0100		0000
Arnold, William Burbury, William Cruttenden, Thomas Cornish, William Castle, R. & H Cotton, John Dunbabin, Thomas Fletcher, Hamlet Ferguson, Alex Fox, William Finch, William Gellie, Goorge Gatehouse, John Hayton, William Hodgson, William Hodgson, William	36 2100 2798 8 2250 1518 874 3000 450 312 340 3 1220 2480 2470 1596	12 477 732 229 150 50 38 50 ——————————————————————————————————	48 2100 3275 8 2982 1747 874 3150 500 350 390 3408 2470 1996	Mace, George A. Mace, Frederick Morey, Abraham Morey, Abraham, jun. Mitchelmore, P. Morey, Abel. Morey, William Olding, Ernest. Rudd, Henry George. Salmon, Samuel Turvey, John Charles. Turvey, Frank Turvey, Wm. Turvey, George	3130 2264 3732 464 500 60 140 114 345 1900 530 4000 1300 1230	550 403 500 120 100 10 120 77 35 340 50 1126 700 270	3680 2667 4232 584 600 70 260 191 380 2240 580 5126 2000 1500
Hodgson, Joseph Lester, William	1320	800	2120		42,484	858 <b>7</b>	51,071
Allen, C. W	207 22 2300 120 24 98 158 147 180 405 70 12 92 24 180 156 100 130 321 50 100 55 149 338 175 418 33 248 3248 325 30 50 380 80 81	117 -420 - 30 52 135 50 160 60 6 36 16 107 8 70 80 76 50 40 32 210 150 130 22 - 275 14 50 40 50 47	WEST  324 22 2720 120 120 24 128 210 282 230 565 130 18 128 40 287 42 181 240 282 150 197 579 94 135 95 181 548 325 548 600 44 100 370 128	Field's (Thomas W.) Estate. Fowell, Edward French, J. H Faulkiner, R. H. & J. W. French, Samuel French, W., jun. Gillam, William Gregory, George. Garrett, Benjamin Goss, John Gillam, Israel Gray, William. Hopkins, C. & J. Hopkins, Thos. Hagarty, Patrick Hall, Robert Hogg, Mrs. C. Hodgetts, R. Hodgetts, R. Hodgetts, R. Hodgetts, Robert. Hingston, Andrew H. Hingston, James T. Hingston, R. T. Hazlewood, E. H. Hazlewood, R. G. Hazlewood, William Hendley, William Hendley, William Huntington, Charles Hooper, William Huntington, James Jordan and Blair Killelea, Thomas Kirkham, Jane and Susan.	443 168 5000 108 123 3038 20 80 13 81 800 146 290 150 192 81 56 2600 121 94 31 85 48 660 180 180 120 60 142 250 80 40 140 140 140 150 140 140 140 140 150 140 150 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 16	3000 220 56 900 50 16 40 1042 20 30 40 400 — 150 70 110 39 40 200 3 63 10 51 33 — 90 60 120 40 104 — 40 300 775 65	6270 663 224 5900 90 124 163 4080 40 110 16 121 1200 146 440 220 302 120 ,96 2800 124 157 41 136 81 160 240 100 240 100 240 100 240 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 12

Names.	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	Total.	Names,	Sheep returned, 1882.	Lambs returned, 1882.	TOTAL.
		WE	ESTBUR	Y—continued.		•	
Kircaldy, James Lack, Charles A Lockhart, John M'Carthy, John Millar, John Mackey, D Murfett, Edward Martin, John Martin, Henry M'Kay, Jane Millar, A. W Mantuck, William Mann, Thomas M'Creery, H. J M'Kinnon, A. M M'Kendrick, Jas Noakes, I Newton, Vincent O'Keefe, David O'Keefe, Cornelius Priestly, Major Geo Patterson, George, sen Patterson, George, jun Phillips, Stearne Pearn, John Phelps, J.& J Page, Robert Quinn, William	6172 60 12	70 36 64 4 40 299 441 50 80 	168 91 134 100 24 34 100 1251 1190 194 600 60 59 427 1440 86 270 1248 71 60 437 46 75 155 63 9004 100 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	Robinson, Chas Radford, F Smith, C. J. L Scott, Bruce & S Stubbs, William Selby, J Stretton, Joseph Scolyer, William Stewart, Fergus Stewart, William Thorpe, Henry. Tuck, Thomas. Viney, Thomas. Viney, William Walker, James Walker, William Walker, James Walker, William Walker, William Walker, Michael Walker, William Walker, Thomas Walker, Thomas Walker, Thomas Whitfield, Alfred Watts, Thomas Wilson, F. J Wildgust, Thos Walch, Thomas Wright, Mrs. L. P Windsor, H. D Wesley, John Walker, F. J	126 1094 140 18 105 20 100 300 190 52 800 121 600 24 103 176 70 916 71 83 52 110 76 1157 50 300	8 86 450 	30 212 1544 140 23 180 40 100 416 300 102 1000 200 900 24 171 276 94 142 1362 106 153 103 200 101 1163 80 400
Ryan, Edmund	200 434 941	144 289 565	344 723 1506	Yates, Samuel	49,487	19,875	69,362

# RETURN showing the Number of Sheep and Lambs in each District in the Colony.

109,594 24,088 128,274 10,729 19,439 105,106 100,062 54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	24,999 5972 23,828 3039 10,840 27,393 29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759 1200	134,593 30,060 152,102 13,768 32,279 132,499 129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736 5444
24,088 128,274 10,729 19,439 105,106 100,062 54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	5972 23,828 3039 10,840 27,393 29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	152,102 13,768 32,279 132,499 129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
128,274 10,729 19,439 105,106 100,062 54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	3039 10,840 27,393 29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	13,768 32,279 132,499 129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
10,729 19,439 105,106 100,062 54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	3039 10,840 27,393 29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	13,768 32,279 132,499 129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
105,106 100,062 54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	27,393 29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	132,499 129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
100,062 54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	27,393 29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	132,499 129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	29,837 8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	129,899 62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
54,277 60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	8244 12,540 8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	62,521 73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
60,690 31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	73,230 40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
31,378 64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	8847 1725 401 34,092 4307 759	40,225 65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
64,264 1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	401 34,092 4307 759	65,989 1529 160,460 16,308 4736
1128 126,368 12,001 3977 4244	34,092 4307 759	1529 160,460 16,308 4736
12,001 3977 4244	4307 759	16,308 4736
12,001 3977 4244	4307 759	16,308 4736
39 <b>77</b> 4244	(	4736
	1200	5444
00.010		
96,818	28,043	124,861
19,230	4504	23,734
149,105	46,094	195,199
11,798	5957	17,755
44,987	11,450	56,437
,		69,976
	9240	39,399
	11.577	57,984
	8587	51,071
49,487	19,875	69,362
,402,936	356,484	1,759,420
	56,842 30,159 46,407 42,484 49,487	56,842 13,134 30,159 9240 46,407 11,577 42,484 8587

## APPENDIX B.

REVISED	<b>ESTIMATE</b>	for	1880-81	of	Annual	Gain	derived	from the	eradication	of	Scab.	
---------	-----------------	-----	---------	----	--------	------	---------	----------	-------------	----	-------	--

	£
1. Increased quantity of wool, say 2,500,000 lbs., at 1s. 3d. per lb	145,833
2. Increased value of quantity (5,000,000 lbs.) produced prior to 1870, at say 3d. per lb	62,500
3. Cost of dressing annually for tobacco, drugs, and additional in dressing under the old system	
of yarding and spotting every few weeks, say as before	13,500
4. Saving of the wasted labour of 1200 men at £50 each	60,000
5. Increased number and value of fat sheep, say at least	20,000
6. Increase arising from export of stud sheep to neighbouring Colonies, say	12,000
	£313,833

To some very probably the above may be considered an exaggerated estimate. It is not so, however. It is moderate in every item, and is capable of proof if a number of settlers I could name would show me their books with their income from sheep, and their expenditure now as compared with former years anterior to the introduction of the Scab Act.

The average value of stud sheep annually exported from 1872 to 1879 inclusive amounts to £18,420. I think two-thirds of that amount may fairly be credited to the Scab Act, as without it there would have been no foreign market; and if that had been so, I do not think the home prices for stud sheep would have been sufficiently encouraging to the breeders to have induced them to improve and extend their flocks to the extent they have done during the last 10 years. 1s. 3d.\* per lb. is not a high value, I think, to put upon wool, taking one year with another; and as for the other items in the calculation, that they are even more moderate most intelligent and observant sheepowners will allow. Some, I am aware, will make no such admission, and stubbornly maintain that although the Act may have done some good it has not benefited them individually; that they cleaned their own sheep, as they dipped them themselves, &c., but ignoring the fact that the dipping was compulsory and, in very many cases, of the worst kind, under the direction and often under the supervision of Inspectors or other agents employed for that purpose.

JAMES WHYTE, Chief Inspector of Sheep.

### APPENDIX C.

A CALCULATION of the principal material Results which may fairly be credited to the operation of the Scab Act.

THE quantity of wool annually exported prior to the introduction of the Scab Act was, on an average, about 5,000,000 lbs.

1st. Quantity of wool in excess of 5,000,000 lbs.  per annum, exported during the years 1873-4 to 1880-1 inclusive  Deduct for other causes than Scab Act, say 4	19,198,478 lbs. 4,799,619	,
	14,398,859 lbs., at 1s. 2d. per lb	£ 839,932
2nd. Increased value on former annual export of 5,000,000 lbs., from 1872-3 to 1881-2 inclusive, 10 years	50,000,000 lbs., at 3d. per lb	625,000
3rd. Increased value of stud sheep exported to the to 1881 inclusive, 10 years, at say £12,000		120,000
4th. Saving of labour of 1200 men, at £50 per an	num for 10 years	600,000
5th. Increased quantity and value of fat sheep, sin be less than	ace 1872 to 1881-2 inclusive, cannot	123,000
Deduct Expenditure under Scab Act, from 1st M per Treasury Account, Appendix E	March, 1870, to 30th June, 1881, as	2,307,932 33,515
	£	2,274,417

<sup>\*</sup>The observations above were made last year. They are equally applicable now. I have reduced the calculated value of wool to 1s. 2d. per lb., although I am not at all impressed with the idea that 1s. 3d. was too much. I leave the increased value at 3d. per lb. as before, as I believe that to be considerably under the mark.—J.W.

Note.—The Customs returns show that in 1881 1306 stud sheep were shipped, valued at £38,305. The amount realised was much above the Customs value.—J.W.

To the above may fairly be added a proportionate increase in value of all pastoral and agricultural lands used for the production of wool and fat sheep to an extent, I may very safely say, of not less than 25 per cent. on the value of property in 1870.

The other causes for which I have deducted one-fourth from the quantity of wool in excess of former years' exports are—improvement in the quality of some flocks in the Colony, principally in the North; an increase in the number and quality of long-woolled sheep; and an increase in the number of sheep shorn in the grease.

The two first items are the only ones to which any weight can be attached, and much more to the first than the second. To the third I attach little or no importance. The fact is that a very large quantity of wool is passed through the Custom House only by the number of bales, without the exact weights; in such cases the weight is assumed to be 300 lbs. per bale.

Formerly the number of bales of wool shipped varied from 15,000 to 16,000 and 17,000, or about an average of not more than 16,000 bales. Now 10,000 bales more on an average are shipped. I am therefore of opinion that for other causes I have deducted about 2,000,000 lbs. too much from No. 1 item.

On the third item, increased value of stud sheep exported, I might have placed £20,000 or £30,000 more.\*

My returns of value are from the Custom House; and I know that, all round, exported stud sheep have realised much more than the value they were passed at through the Custom House. This is not the fault of the Customs Officers, but the fault of the shipper, who sends his sheep to town sometimes without any instructions to his agent as to value; and, consequently, sheep that may sell in New Zealand or elsewhere at from £20 to £150 are put down by the agent at £5, £10, or £20, as the case may be.

No. 4 item, the saving of labour formerly absolutely necessary on account of scab, is, I believe, reasonably estimated.

No. 5 item is a very moderate calculation, if it is taken into account that fat sheep now slaughtered average from 8 to 12 lbs. more per head than they did in 1870, and the meat is wholesome instead of being diseased.

JAMES WHYTE, Chief Inspector of Sheep.

## APPENDIX D.

STATEMENT of the Number of Head of Cattle, Sheep, and Pigs received at the Hobart Station of the Tasmanian Main Line Railway.

During the Year ending 31st December, 1877—	
Cattle	1370 17,250 559
Total	19,183
For the Year 1878—	-
Cattle	2042 26,732 1289
Total	30,063
For the Year 1879—	
Cattle	1767 27,527 1388
Total	29,682
For the Year 1880—	
Cattle	2099 22,465 1671
TOTAL	26,235

 $<sup>\</sup>bullet$  In 1881 stud sheep were shipped to the value of £38,305 according to the Customs returns, but realised a considerably larger amount. The current year promises to be even more productive.

For the year 1881—	
Cattle	2071
Sheep	18,226
$\operatorname{Pigs} \dots \dots$	2087
Total	32,384
Continuation of the above for the Six Months ending 30th June, 1882-	
Cattle	1858
Sheep	14,894
Pigs	1253
Total	18,005

Furnished by C. H. Grant, Esq., Manager T.M.L.R. Co.

JAMES WHYTE, Chief Inspector of Sheep.

Note.—In the above are included small numbers of stock to Brighton, Bridgewater, and New Norfolk Road, as in most cases their ultimate destination is Hobart, from Bridgewater sales.—J.W.

# APPENDIX E.

# SCAB ACT FUND.

RECEIPTS and Expenditure from 1st March, 1870, to 31st December, 1881.

YEAR.	RECEIPTS.						EXPENDITURE.		
	Amount of Contribution.	Licences.	Sheep by Sea.	Fines.	Miscellaneous, Rent, Refund, Costs, &c.	TOTAL.	Salaries and Allowances.	Other Expen- diture.	Total.
1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1877 1878 1879 1880	£ s. d. 1448 12 5 1393 18 3 1373 4 5 2841 15 8 3241 6 6 1799 6 6 3794 14 10 3827 12 4 3921 18 4 1940 2 10 1921 9 9 3690 17 4	£ s. d 115 12 1 379 8 0 497 18 7 407 14 9 169 2 0 271 9 0	£ s. d. 16 3 1 8 6 0 5 6 3 12 9 6 18 2 1 18 19 10 18 10 7 31 15 3 21 0 4 19 2 8 22 8 3	£ s. d. 22 0 0 58 9 106 12 5 213 10 0 176 18 6 308 7 0 165 6 0 82 16 0 87 4 0 30 4 0 11 5 0 2 4 6	£ s. d 12 10 0 21 2 6 29 17 10 22 10 9 18 10 0 58 3 6 5 0 0	£ s. d. 1486 15 6 1460 14 0 1600 15 2 3447 3 2 3946 15 8 2555 10 7 4177 11 5 4209 15 8 4059 7 7 2049 10 8 1956 17 5 3715 10 1	£ s. d. 794 19 10 1810 0 0 1830 0 0 2593 1 1 2720 0 0 2768 9 2 3677 10 0 3700 0 0 3484 10 9 3380 0 0 2805 0 0 1967 10 0	£ s. d. 66 9 6 23 10 7 48 14 5 50 5 0 163 14 0 511 8 8 233 4 6 143 11 0 272 17 8 165 6 1 119 15 7 185 12 3	£ s. d. 861 9 4 1833 10 7 1878 14 5 2643 6 1 2883 14 0 3279 17 10 3910 14 6 3843 11 0 3757 8 5 3545 6 1 2924 15 7 2153 2 3
	31,194 19 2	1841 4 5	197 11 7	1264 17 2	167 14 7	34,666 6 11	31,531 0 10	1984 9 3	33,515 10 1

Colonial Treasury, 6th July, 1882.

WM. H. WINDSOR, Assistant Treasurer.