From:

Subject: Submission against the proposed AFL stadium Date: Saturday, 11 February 2023 10:49:28 AM

I am against the proposed AFL Stadium at Macquarie Point for the following reasons:

The proposed stadium is simply too big in height and scale. It would dominate the harbour, river and foreshore

The proposed stadium would eliminate the peaceful and serene, open-air, wide-view aspects of, and toward, the Cenotaph. The Cenotaph and memorial services would be overshadowed by the height and bulk of this stadium.

The heritage-listed goods shed, recently refurbished with plans in place for a 2,500sqm events area, would be demolished if the stadium goes ahead. The Longhouse, which the aboriginal Community has been developing as a meeting area with food gardens attached would be lost.

There has been no consultation with the community about this proposal. A packed Hobart Town Hall meeting, in November 2022, clearly showed that the residents do not want this stadium.

There's been no thorough, evidence-based analysis of the economic and social benefits to the community, comparing this proposal to other options for Macquarie Point.

Once the construction is finished, most stadiums generate only a few jobs because such sites are exceedingly under-used. Other options for Macquarie Point would provide more ongoing jobs, economic stimulus and improve the livability of Hobart.

The Government's stadium business case suggests the new stadium will host 7 AFL games per year, and yet the AFL dictates how and where the stadium should be built. The AFL is proposing to pay just 2% of the proposed total cost of \$750M+.

The Stadium business case finds only a 50 cent return for every dollar invested in the project (a Benefit Cost Ratio of 0.5), noting that "social infrastructure such as stadiums rarely return a Benefit Cost ratio above 1.0 and usually the economic costs will outweigh the identifiable and quantifiable economic benefits."

A cost-benefit analysis from MI Global Partners, commissioned by the Tasmanian government last year shows that the stadium will lose \$300 million over 20 years of operation. This does not include the costs of supporting an AFL team in the state.

The case does not properly look at upgrading the 19,000 seat Bellerive Oval. The average AFL attendances for the past 5 years at Bellerive have been:

2022 - 7,141

2021 - 5,394

2020 - 9.882

2019 - 10,879

2018 - 10,920

Simply put, a 19,000 seat stadium is quite adequate for years ahead.

With so much money (\$750m+) being spent on the stadium, it's likely that the state and federal governments would be forced to spend less than otherwise on health, housing, education, and public transport.

Your name: Alison Wood

Your email:

Additional The traffic congestion problem in Hobart will also be made worse by

comments::

the proposed placement of a stadium in the CBD. Thousands of cars converging on the centre of our city will create gridlocks. Gridlocks are not only a source of frustration, they pose real dangers to lives as they make it impossible for ambulances to access the hospital in a timely manner. This represents a compounding problem: not only is this government choosing to fund entertainment over health, it is choosing to fund entertainment in a way that represents a serious obstacle to its delivery of the meagre health services it does bother with. To say I am disgusted in this government's priorities is a serious understatement. Further, it seems this disgust is shared by the majority of the population. Thank heavens for that. It represents the one great hope: that this government will be gone before they manage to think of more ways to impoverish and denigrate us.