



---

PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

---

PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

# Royal Hobart Hospital Pharmacy Expansion Project

---

*Presented to Her Excellency the Governor pursuant to the provisions of the  
Public Works Committee Act 1914.*

---

Legislative Council

Ms Rattray (Deputy Chair)  
Mr Valentine (Chair)

House of Assembly

Ms Butler  
Mr Tucker  
Mr Wood

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |                                     |    |
|---|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION .....                  | 3  |
| 2 | BACKGROUND.....                     | 3  |
| 3 | PROJECT COSTS .....                 | 6  |
| 4 | EVIDENCE .....                      | 7  |
| 5 | DOCUMENTS TAKEN INTO EVIDENCE ..... | 25 |
| 6 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION ..... | 26 |

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

To Her Excellency the Honourable Barbara Baker AC, Governor in and over the State of Tasmania and its Dependencies in the Commonwealth of Australia.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY

The Committee has investigated the following proposal:-

### **Royal Hobart Hospital Pharmacy Expansion Project**

and now has the honour to present the Report to Your Excellency in accordance with the Public Works Committee Act 1914 (the Act).

## **2 BACKGROUND**

- 2.1 This reference recommended the Committee approve works on the Royal Hobart Hospital Pharmacy (RHH Pharmacy) to provide an expanded dispensary, increased on-site storage, space for clinical trials, and new sterile pharmaceutical production facilities.
- 2.2 The RHH is Tasmania's largest hospital and the state's major referral centre for a range of clinical specialties. The RHH Pharmacy is located on Level 5 of D Block, and it is the only Level 6 pharmacy service in Tasmania and the only public hospital pharmacy service in Southern Tasmania. The RHH Pharmacy provides essential services to RHH inpatient wards, inpatients, and outpatients, including but not limited to:
- Dispensing service for inpatients and outpatients;
  - Clinical pharmacy service to wards and units at the RHH;
  - Sterile drug (aseptic and cytotoxic) and extemporaneous drug preparations;
  - Ward medication management and restocking 'imprest' (out-of-hours) services throughout the RHH itself and supply to outpatient centres;
  - Local and state-wide pharmacy administration; and
  - Clinical research, education, and clinical trials.
- 2.3 Since the construction of the Pharmacy in its current location in 1998, the service has seen significant increase in service volumes and complexity and consequently, the current facilities are unable to cater for either current or future demand. In particular:
- While chemotherapy production has been successfully outsourced as planned, a significant portion of production must remain on site due to increasing use of biologically engineered drugs that are viable for only a few hours after preparation;

- Despite the implementation of a just-in-time inventory model, the utilisation and range of commonly prescribed drugs has evolved to where drugs are frequently ordered twice a day. Also, as a level 6 Pharmacy service the RHH Pharmacy must maintain adequate supplies of critical medicines resulting in requirements to maintain stock lines which have increased from 1800 products to well over 2800, requiring increased drugs inventory storage and dispensing workspaces; and
  - Staffing resource requirements have increased with increasing services delivery requirements resulting in the current, excessively cramped working environment.
- 2.4 Furthermore, the current RHH Pharmacy facilities are inadequate across all areas of service delivery, lacking a number of critical capabilities found in other tertiary hospitals. Undersized and ageing facilities contribute to a range of staff safety and clinical risks due to crowded work areas, inadequate sterile manufacturing facilities and secondary exposure to potentially toxic pharmaceuticals (i.e., cytotoxics) during the preparation processes that are part of its core function.
- 2.5 The RHH Pharmacy's capacity is significantly constrained, impacting on production and distribution of a range of medications necessary for tertiary hospital inpatient and outpatient services across the RHH and Tasmania. The inadequate dispensing space and staff overcrowding contributes to an increased risk of dispensing errors and thus impacts the safety of patients accessing the service. The current facility also consistently fails to meet the requirements outlined within the Australasian Health Facility Guidelines and other applicable standards.
- 2.6 Additionally, the Jack Jumper Ant Venom Immunotherapy Program undertakes manufacturing activities within the current footprint of the RHH Pharmacy. Demand for the Jack Jumper products are increasing and are estimated to increase by greater than 100% over the next 10 years. Revised guidelines for pharmaceutical manufacturing were released by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) which included increased requirements for sterile injectable product guidelines including the Jack Jumper product.
- 2.7 Recognising these important issues, the proposed works aim to deliver a new purpose built sterile pharmaceutical production facility at the RHH, as well as an expansion of key areas within the department such as the dispensary, storage, administration and support services.
- 2.8 To that end, the proposed works will include the following 3 key elements:
- A new contemporary, safe and high-capacity sterile production facility for cytotoxic and aesthetic drug manufacture that can meet the manufacturing requirements for clinical service delivery across the RHH, including the Intensive Care Unit, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, cancer services, elective surgery and the production of biologicals for the treatment of a broad range of clinical conditions;
  - A new dedicated sterile production facility to meet the manufacturing requirements of the Jack Jumper Allergy Program and its clinical allergy and immunology services in a TGA compliant facility; and

- Expanded general pharmacy space including increased on-site storage, revamped dispensing areas, space for clinical trials and research plus general office administration. The expansion and redesign of the dispensary area is being undertaken to align with the updated operating model of the Pharmacy.
- 2.9 Once the proposed works are completed, it is expected to provide significant benefits to staff, internal and external service clients and ultimately, patients, including:
- Improved drug stock management ensuring fewer stockouts that may compromise patient care. This will ensure patients receive the drugs they are proscribed in a timely fashion which directly impacts patient outcomes;
  - Improved dispensing space which will improve efficiency for the dispensing process, reducing waiting times for prescriptions;
  - Minimisation of risk of staff workplace exposure to harmful cytotoxic drugs and workplace related injuries;
  - A pharmacy that is better able to meet the evolving requirements of cancer patient and the throughput demanded by contemporary chemotherapy treatment;
  - Improved patients safety by a reduction in the risk that patients will receive the wrong drug or drug dose as a direct consequence of the improved design of dispensing workspaces; and
  - Maintenance of TGA licencing for the manufacture of Jack Jumper Ant Venom Immunotherapy products in the only such production facility in Australia along with enabling the expansion and commercialisation of this unique service.

### 3 PROJECT COSTS

- 3.1 Pursuant to the Message from Her Excellency the Governor-in-Council, the estimated cost of the work is \$21.96 million.

The following table details the current cost estimates for the project:

|                                                                                  |                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Construction Costs (inclusive of market escalation and construction contingency) | \$ 13,900,000        |
| DOH Project Contingency (latent conditions, hazardous materials)                 | \$ 1,811,000         |
| Professional Fees and associated costs                                           | \$ 1,390,000         |
| Post occupancy allowance                                                         | \$ 400,000           |
| Information and Communication Technology Infrastructure                          | \$ 800,000           |
| Furniture and Equipment                                                          | \$ 2,300,000         |
| Furniture and Equipment (Specialist Manufacturing)                               | \$ 800,000           |
| Arts Tasmania                                                                    | \$ 80,000            |
| Staged decanting allowance (including storage)                                   | \$ 200,000           |
| Authorities, isolations, and service interruptions                               | \$ 180,000           |
| <b>PROJECT TOTAL</b>                                                             | <b>\$ 21,861,000</b> |

The Department's submission notes the following in relation to the allowances for market escalation and construction complexities inherent at the RHH, including those specifically related to the ability to continue service delivery:

*In reviewing the project budget, it should be noted that the construction costs include a 30% allocation to address risks associated with Tasmanian construction market conditions and the challenges of construction within the aging RHH facility. Further allowances have been made in consideration of the complexities created by the requirement to complete this expansion without significant interruption to either RHH Pharmacy or Jack Jumper services.<sup>1</sup>*

---

<sup>1</sup> *Royal Hobart Hospital (RHH) Pharmacy Expansion Project* - Submission to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works, Department of Health, July 2023, Page 15.

## 4 EVIDENCE

4.1 The Committee commenced its inquiry on Monday, 10 July last with an inspection of the site of the proposed works. The Committee then returned to Committee Room 1, Parliament House, whereupon the following witnesses appeared, made the Statutory Declaration and were examined by the Committee in public:-

- Andrew Hargrave, Deputy Secretary Infrastructure, Department of Health;
- George Clarke, Deputy Secretary Community, Mental Health and Well Being, Department of Health;
- Tom Simpson, Executive Director, Statewide Hospital Pharmacy Operations, Tasmanian Health Service;
- Darren Jones, Director (Lead Design Consultant), BPSM Architects; and
- Jason Holtman, Project Manager, Infrastructure, Programming and Delivery, Department of Health.

The following Committee Members were present:

- Mr Valentine (Chair);
- Mr Tucker; and
- Mr Wood;

### Overview

4.2 Mr Simpson provided a comprehensive overview of the RHH Pharmacy, the limitations of the current facility, and how the proposed works will enable delivery of an improved pharmacy service:

**Mr SIMPSON** - I would like to talk a little about the RHH Pharmacy Department and what it does for the hospital and southern Tasmania and describe some of the constraints that are placed on it by the current facility which will, obviously, then materialise as the benefits from the redevelopment of the pharmacy department.

The pharmacy department has been in its current location for 25 years. When it moved there it was with a halving of the space allocation granted to the pharmacy. Part of that was predicated on a number of assumptions - the assumption we could move to 'just in time' inventory without impacting on the viability of the supply chain to the hospital; that we could entirely move to outsourcing all of our sterile compounding; and that the rest of the hospital's footprint would remain unchanged. I am old enough and have been around in that pharmacy for long enough to have seen all of those things turn out unfortunately not to be the case. Fast-forward to now and what was a 300-bed hospital is now a 650-bed hospital, with a huge demand on its pharmacy services.

The redevelopment will create for us a pharmacy facility that meets the current and projected needs of the hospital, its patients and staff safely and efficiently.

In order to meet the challenges of the last 25 years, we have had to create a number of satellite pharmacy locations spread across the campus that we will be able to then merge together as part of this redevelopment, including locations on 2A, 8A, lower ground floor F, second floor F and the Wellington Clinics.

I would like to briefly describe the elements that comprise the pharmacy service and in doing so talk about some of the benefits. At the heart of the pharmacy is the stores area where we purchase medicines, hold them in inventory, distribute them to wards of the hospital and distribute them to out-centres attached to the hospital. We hold around 3000 unique products, which is a doubling since we moved to that location. We purchase from over 40 different suppliers in order to get best value for government, although two main wholesalers make up a large proportion of that volume.

Our annual purchase on behalf of government is between \$50 million and \$60 million every year that flows into that pharmacy department in inventory and the vast majority of that gets used for treatment at the Royal Hobart Hospital. We have roughly \$6 million in stock on hand held at that hospital in its stores. The inventory holdings have gone up there markedly over that time period for a number of reasons. First, there are more medications, more treatments available for patients than there were 20 years ago. Second, when we introduced the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme into our public hospitals in 2011, that allowed us to benefit patients by providing them with a month's worth of medicines on discharge rather than a week's worth, but it also meant a quadrupling of the medications we held. And, finally, the impact of the pandemic which has disrupted and continues to disrupt and will disrupt the supply chain for pharmaceuticals and many other things on the planet for the year to come. Countries such as China and India have been particularly hard-hit by the pandemic throughout the last three years and that is where 60 per cent of the world's pharmaceuticals come from, or the ingredients required for their manufacture. We are expecting shortages to be with us for a long time into the future.

The Therapeutic Goods Administration records around 500 medication shortages right now. Every single day we are notified of around five new or extended shortages that will affect the Royal Hobart Hospital. Our main protection against that is keeping sufficient inventory on the island to buffer us against those supply chain disruptions, which we are doing very effectively. The vast majority of those shortages do not actually reach the patient. All of those things have meant we are now keeping a large amount of stock on hand in that pharmacy department.

The redeveloped pharmacy department will see a doubling of the linear shelf space available to store medications and will provide greater certainty that the medications at our hospital needs will be on hand when we need them.

The dispensing area of the pharmacy is probably what most people think of when they think of a pharmacy where we supply medicines to patients. That includes outpatients who come to the hospital every month many of whom are accessing medications that cannot be accessed elsewhere. Some of those high cost medications that the Commonwealth funds are on the highly specialised drug scheme or life-saving drug scheme - drugs such as Trikafta for cystic fibrosis, which is a life-changing drug but which costs about \$22 000 a box. That is only available, by and large, through our public hospitals. We also supply medications to patients being discharged from hospital to make sure that they safely transition home, and to inpatients in hospital. If a medication is not held in the ward's drug cupboard it will be dispensed to them from our dispensary.

That dispensing workload has gone up dramatically as well as our hospital has increased from 300 to 650 beds. Just in the last five years alone there has been approximately 30 per cent increase in the amount of dispensing activity that we are doing for our patients. A lot of that has been due to the new beds that have been opened up in K Block. It is not just about inpatient beds; outpatient occasions of service have gone up dramatically by about 20 per cent from 55 000 outpatient dispensings a year in 2018-19 to 66 000 in the most recent financial year.

We want our dispensary to be a safe and effective hive of activity and to have the space that it requires to do that job so that staff have sufficient separation, have sufficient access to medications to do that safely, efficiently and free from distraction. That is what the redeveloped Royal Hobart Hospital pharmacy department creates: a dispensing work space that meets all of the expected standards now and into the future.



We also operate clinical trials out of our hospital. We are a level 6 pharmacy service and that means that clinical trials are very much part of our remit. We have about 80 to 100 clinical trials open at any one point in time and they all require medications and documentation to be stored. That allows us to provide access to clinical trials that a patient might otherwise need to go across to Peter Mac [Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre] every week to access some of those investigations.

...Otherwise they might be travelling there every week or so to access some of those investigational drugs that we can make available here in Tasmania through our clinical trial service. It is also fair to say the current facility is constrained. We can take on no more clinical trials without stopping some until we have a redeveloped pharmacy space and that is another thing that the pharmacy redevelopment grants Tasmania.

Compounding is the next area I will talk about. Compounding is one of the main drivers for this project to make sure that when we make up the medicines in the pharmacy that do not exist as a commercially prepared product that they can be made safely and efficiently. Compounding is the act of taking the pharmaceutical ingredient and turning it into a patient-specific dose and dosage form.

Some of that work we do, like in a kitchen on a bench - a very clean bench, where we make up skin creams and things like that by taking oral medicines like tablets and capsules and turning them into a cream - what the new pharmacy redevelopment gives us there is a much safer space for staff to work in to do that with access to eye wash stations and fume hoods and things like that.

The main challenge that we are facing in our current pharmacy is the huge constraint on the sterile compounding area in which we make up things like chemotherapy. We outsource a large proportion of our chemotherapy compounding and sterile compounding. That is an arrangement that works very much to the favour of the hospital and allows us to do a large volume of repetitive work. A number of mainland-based suppliers do that compounding work so that when we receive a chemotherapy script for a patient for a drug and dose, after we have clinically checked that for safety we send that over to one of our interstate suppliers. They will have pharmacists and pharmacy technicians there who compound that in their sterile facilities, label it for that patient and send it back to us for us to check before it goes into a patient's arm.

A large majority of that work is outsourced but there is a significant amount that simply cannot be outsourced for whatever reason due to the stability of the drug. It may only last a few hours between compounding and injection into the arm or it may not withstand transport from Victoria. We need to have the capacity on the island to do sterile compounding. The Royal Hobart Hospital is a level 6 pharmacy, for which that is a requirement. We also have access to the level 5 pharmacy at the Launceston General Hospital when needed.

Chemotherapy, as I have spoken about, is one of our challenges from an OH&S point of view in ensuring that not only do we make a sterile product but that we make a product that does not spread contamination. Chemotherapy is an OH&S risk. We take those risks very seriously and we mitigate those to the full extent that we are able to; however, whilst our facility meets the expected standards, it could certainly be improved to bring it closer to best practice. That involves a much larger number of layers of airflow and separation between the area where people are working alongside of toxic medications and on the rest of the pharmacy department.

We also need redundancy and backup. There is the one facility here in southern Tasmania as well as the one facility in northern Tasmania. In the event that one of those is unavailable, we are able to use the other, but we do not have the backup that we would feel comfortable with in the southern half of Tasmania. It is also true to say that we cannot currently be self-sufficient in that where we could lose access to that style of compounding that takes place in Victoria, we would be unable to make a 100 per cent of the chemotherapy that is required for southern Tasmania in that facility because the facility is physically constrained. These are the barriers that have opened up with the redevelopment, the ability to be self-sufficient when

we need to and the ability to have redundancy built in such that we can undertake routine maintenance or have equipment that is out of service, that kind of thing.

Other things that we make up are things that keep people living their best possible life. We make up intravenous immunomodulators, which are prepared in our sterile suite for which people come into our hospital, week after week or month after month. These are things that sustain life for people and keep them as healthy as they can be living with diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis. Those are made up in our sterile facility for many dozens of patients each week.

We also make up things like IV nutrition solutions. Our most vulnerable patients, people such as premature babies, or people who have just had gastric surgery, cannot eat food by mouth and so we will make up intravenous nutrition in our sterile suite. That product, by definition, has to be sterile. You are injecting it into premature babies. My own son, Jack, was born at the Royal Hobart Hospital 11 weeks premature, 1.2 kilograms and all of the first meals of his life came from the compounding suite at the RHH pharmacy.

We make a good product there, but the facility itself is a challenge to work in and a barrier to greater volume.

As I said, there is a limit on what we can get to compound externally. We need to have some availability on the island of those facilities and we need to move beyond those constraints to ensure that southern Tasmania can be self-sufficient.

I will briefly speak about the jack jumper ant venom desensitisation program. Although it is not one of my responsibilities, the work for that program takes place currently within the RHH pharmacy. That is the sterile production of one of the only ant venom products anywhere in the world. That is made up there for patients to be desensitised to the jack jumper. We are all very familiar with Tasmania's native, nasty ant. That is a lifesaving program that prevents people who would otherwise be at risk of anaphylaxis from a jack jumper sting from reacting. That is made in that facility and the expanded facility that we are going through the redevelopment, gives us the ability to significantly scale up manufacture of that product.

The final area I'll speak about doesn't necessarily take place within the pharmacy, and that is our clinical pharmacy service, where we have pharmacists and pharmacy technicians working on the wards as part of good multidisciplinary care. We have in our other pharmacy departments now nearly 170 staff. About half of those are engaged in ward-based work, where they are reviewing medication charts, taking medication histories, educating patients on their medication changes prior to discharge.

Local research that we undertook with the University of Tasmania two years ago showed that our average general medical patient who comes through the hospital, through the ED, is roughly 78 years old, comes in on nine medications on average, is on 11 medications within 24 hours, and by the time of discharge is on 10 medications. That is not always the case of one extra, that might be many different things that are substituted.

Clinical pharmacists are very much involved in supporting that safe transfer of care back to the community, re-labelling medicines, dealing with community providers and GPs, et cetera. We know that when patients receive those services, they are 10 times safer and stay in hospital 10 per cent less duration.

That is a lot of the activity that goes on in the pharmacy. We have a large number of people in that footprint. That footprint is currently approximately 700 square metres. That footprint nearly doubles through this redevelopment and gains us a lot of capacity to work more efficiently, more effectively -

...I should also note it is a teaching space as well. We have students who come through the area. Around 140 undergraduate students each year go through one of our hospital pharmacies and we provide around 10 000 hours of supervision on site to develop Tasmania's up-and-coming pharmacists through the university. There is a lot in there that provides benefit to the staff working in the space but, just as importantly, to give the hospital the

pharmacy service it needs so that southern Tasmania and Tasmania as a whole has access to medications it needs reliably, safely, efficiently, timely.

### **Will the Pharmacy Expansion Provide Sufficient Capacity to meet Future Demand?**

- 4.3 The Committee was keen to understand if the Pharmacy expansion was just catering for current demand, or whether it would provide capacity for future service growth:

**CHAIR** - ... Because of the redevelopments that are happening at the Royal, seemingly on a regular basis because they are redeveloping the site in situ rather than on a greenfield site, it is important for us to understand that what we are doing here is of lasting quality. Does someone want to address that aspect of its longevity?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Certainly, throughout the design of this process we have been looking at ensuring that there is at least 10 to 15 years of capacity based on what we have seen over the last 10 years. You are right. This doesn't just bring us up to where we should be now but creates some capacity in the future.

...

**CHAIR** - ...With regard to stock holding increases, when you have been designing this particular space, have you done that with any clear understanding of forward population growth or simply on the fact it has been 300 beds in the past and now 600 beds? Are you designing this space for the current capacity of the hospital or for growth?

**Mr SIMPSON** - There are a few factors we have looked at there to ensure that what we will have will be adequate for the future. Part of it is how much will we need to keep for the number of beds for the population very roughly based on historical, but also on the number of medicines and the growth there. Things like the narcotic Schedule 8 safe in the new facility. Because that is landlocked and made out of a solid construction that cannot easily be demolished, that has to have 10-15 years worth of growth built into it.

**CHAIR** - When we were on our trip around this morning, you were saying you used to do 500 dispensings a day and it is now 700.

**Mr SIMPSON** - That is right.

**CHAIR** - One assumes that that could grow to 800 or indeed 1000.

**Mr SIMPSON** - One thousand would be the prediction we would go with.

**CHAIR** - And that is basically how you designed this space to cope with that sort of level?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Roughly one dispensing work station for every 100 items a day and we have at least 10 dispensing work stations in the new pharmacy.

**CHAIR** - With the standard of space required, you are currently working probably a third of what might be considered a standard for the number of dispensings you do?

**Mr SIMPSON** - That is true. Although the Tasmanian Pharmacy Authority does not have guidelines in relation to hospital pharmacies, the Pharmacy Board of Victoria does and our dispensing space at the RHH currently does not meet those standards and, of course, will do so with the redevelopment.

### **New Sterile Manufacturing Facility**

- 4.4 The Committee asked the witnesses to explain why the RHH Pharmacy needed a medicine manufacturing facility:

**CHAIR** - ... Moving over to Document Purpose:

*“The purpose of the document is to inform the Parliamentary Standing Committee of the need to expand the existing Royal Hobart Hospital Pharmacy and the construction of sterile production facilities...”*

What were the alternative solutions to building our own sterile manufacturing facility or wasn't that at all considered because it is so important to the function of the hospital? Can you describe that?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Certainly. The facility we have right now was designed with outsourcing built in as a factor. Perhaps 25 years ago the view was cancer treatment, in particular, would be moving to oral medicines - tablets and capsules you can get from a dispensary. The opposite has happened. Cancer treatment is moving more towards immunomodulators, monoclonal antibodies. These are protein products that can only be given intravenously - the stomach digests proteins very well. We have had to significantly increase the amount of sterile compounding and a lot of those things are not stable for transport. In terms of looking at other options, there is no private sector option within Tasmania. We already utilise private sector options from the mainland to meet the vast majority of the work that we do. But there is around 10 or so per cent, maybe 20 per cent, of work that has to be done on the island and therefore has to be done within those public facilities as the only providers.

**CHAIR** - Okay. That is all about timeliness and safety of the product?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Many of these medicines are time critical. Every now and then someone will come through with a cancer diagnosis where hours matter in terms of keeping that person alive and that is where we need to be able to compound chemotherapy right then and there in that hospital pharmacy or life-threatening ocular infections. We will need to compound products for injections into the eye to treat those things. You cannot have those things sitting in standby. You cannot be waiting for them to be shipped across from suburban Melbourne. They have to be made here on the island.

4.5 The Committee also sought to understand why the capacity of the current manufacturing facility needed to be increased, and how this increased capacity would be realised:

**CHAIR** - The redundancy and backup that you were talking about in your opening statement was about how the southern half of the state at present, how shall we say, doesn't have the level of redundancy that you would like. Can you talk a little more on how this project is going to meet that?

**Mr SIMPSON** - I guess upfront there is just the issue of the hospital pharmacy being available for southern Tasmania as a facility. We have no backup for that. If we do not have a hospital pharmacy, the hospital cannot function. The next level down, the compounding service, is one that I particularly focus on as defining the challenges of redundancy. We have one cytotoxic isolator unit at the RHH which we can use to make chemotherapy on demand. We have one sterile suite with a single laminar flow cabinet in it. If that capacity is exceeded, then we cannot meet whatever that demand is and if that equipment is out of action, we cannot meet that demand in the south.

**CHAIR** - And the new facility will provide how many of those?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Correct me if I am wrong but I believe there are six laminar flow cabinets in the sterile facility rather than the one in the new facility. Sorry, Darren, I look to you for the number of cytotoxic cabinets.

**Mr JONES** - In terms of cytotoxic cabinets, we have currently worked on the assumption at the moment that there will be three installed immediately but we have capacity for a fourth.

**CHAIR** - So, that is not so much as a step as it is a leap.

**Mr JONES** - If you look at it in the context of floor area, we are going from something in the order of not much more than 20 square metres, if you take those two rooms by themselves, to an environment where we are approaching more in the order of 160 square metres as a

direct like-for-like comparison. So there is a fairly significant upgrade in scale capacity and technical ability.

### **Jack Jumper Immunotherapy Production Facility**

- 4.6 The Committee understood the RHH Pharmacy would also house the Jack Jumper Ant Venom Immunotherapy Program, and noted a dedicated production facility would be built to meet the manufacturing requirements of this program. The Committee inquired into importance of this program and need for the new facility:

**CHAIR** - Moving over, in regard to the jack jumper provision of services there. The facility that is needed for that is not something that could be outsourced? Is that something that, because of its specialty nature, has to be manufactured? If it is going to be manufactured it is going to be manufactured by the Royal Hobart Hospital, which owns the intellectual property, I believe. Can you explain that?

**Mr SIMPSON** - This is true, it is quite a novel product that is made there. As Troy told you earlier, it is one of only two double-blind placebo controlled trials ever conducted on earth in relation to desensitisation with an insect venom product. That work was conducted by the Royal Hobart Hospital. It was the hospital that performed that research and the hospital owns the intellectual property associated with making up the ant venom product that is then used to desensitise people over a period of time. They will come to the hospital - I do not know the cycle, it is every week or fortnight or something like that - for desensitisation with increasing doses of the venom.

As I said, the IP is owned by the RHH. The work is a good fit there, particularly given Tasmania being the homeland of the jack jumper. I don't believe any efforts are being made to look at a commercial provider for that; I believe it is solely being looked at as an in-house Royal Hobart Hospital product.

**CHAIR** - Quite clearly, the anaphylaxis that results being so severe, it is a good thing to be having. Is that right?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Yes, 3 per cent of Tasmania's population has a risk of anaphylaxis if they are stung by one of these jack jumper ants. There are people who live in fear. People who don't want to go out to their washing line to hang up their clothes because they're worried they might get stung by one of these ants. There are people who can't go on bushwalks or cycle around Mount Wellington because of their fear. The desensitisation program is life-changing for them. They can experience Tasmania's natural wilderness without that fear.

### **Pharmaceutical Storage Capacity**

- 4.7 The Committee recognised the need for adequate pharmaceutical storage space, especially in an environment where supply chain disruptions are now more common. The Committee questioned the witnesses on the adequacy of pharmaceutical storage that would be provided in the project:

**CHAIR** - You talked about supply chain disruptions, that it was four weeks previously and you are talking about 12 weeks worth of stock?

**Mr SIMPSON** - I was describing earlier our approach to medication shortages which have become common, not just in Tasmania or Australia, but across the entire world. There have been entire countries that have had the supply chain of antidepressants, antipsychotics, other medications - common, regular, everyday essential medications - out of supply, like antibiotics which we depend on. We have had to dramatically increase our stock holding to buffer us against the effects of those disruptions on the supply chain which we do not see going away any time soon.

**CHAIR** - Do you think the storage in this new site is going to be sufficient? Obviously, you must do because you have designed it. In the event we have another COVID-19 outbreak or some other circumstance that causes us to have to rely on current products in store because there have been disruptions to manufacture elsewhere, are you satisfied the space you have for storage is sufficient to be able to continue to operate?

**Mr SIMPSON** - There is a balancing act at play here. We are currently keeping up to 12 weeks worth of stock on hand. If we wanted to protect against every shortage we would need to keep a year's worth of stock on hand, which is impractical to do. We are also dependent upon our suppliers and wholesalers as part of that equation. We work closely with them to balance that risk out. On the balance of risk that we are facing, we think we are currently keeping a good balance of the right amount of stock versus having too much or too little and see the space available through the redevelopment big enough to continue that.

**CHAIR** - You told us you had something in the vicinity of \$6.2 million total holding of drugs, that is packets of. I don't know how you describe that \$6.2 million. Is that likely to grow significantly when you get the new site or is it something not likely to grow significantly?

**Mr SIMPSON** - It will probably only grow as either demand for medications grows.

**CHAIR** - Increase in beds, et cetera?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Yes. If new medications get listed on the PBS then it will drive it up, otherwise we expect that dollar amount to stay relatively static.

### **Improvement to Staff Facilities and Work Environment**

4.8 The Committee had seen first-hand during the site visit the cramped nature of the pharmacy workspace, and the lack of adequate facilities for staff. The Committee was keen to understand how this situation would be improved for staff:

**Mr WOOD** - I had a question on page 5 in relation to staffing. With the huge demand now for the services of the pharmacy, obviously the staff numbers have gone up. Having a look through there today, the staff amenities did not look to be up to scratch.

**CHAIR** - Constrained.

**Mr WOOD** - Yes, constrained. In this redevelopment, perhaps you could elaborate on what will be created and facilitated for better staff comfort?

**Mr SIMPSON** - 'Constrained' might be the word of the day, perhaps. Currently, we have limited bathroom facilities for our staff. There are two female toilets, one male toilet and one urinal and one publicly accessible toilet near the meeting room. There is a staff break room or tearoom which can hold eight or nine people. We have gone from roughly 50 staff to now 170 staff whilst still drawing upon those same facilities.

The new facility grants us bathroom facilities that meet the expected code and ratios but also staff break areas. Breaks are important. Dispensing and compounding is work where you want people to concentrate very hard for a period of time and breaks are critical to that. The tearoom facilities and the lunch room facilities in the new meeting room are quite spacious. I cannot remember the number of people they can fit, it would be of the order of 15 to 20 people who could simultaneously have a break there. It will include artworks that hopefully will make staff feel more relaxed and at ease in that space than they can currently feel.

...

**CHAIR** - ... Quite a lot of the areas we saw today did not have any external windows and, no doubt, those people who work in them must find that a little frustrating.

Can you tell us how that has been addressed in the new facility - the percentage of areas that may not have access to natural light and what measures might be taken to mitigate some of that?

**Mr SIMPSON** - There is a doubling of sides of walls that have windows, in that the pharmacy spans both sides of the D Block now. We have natural light currently in the dispensary - that remains an integral part of the design of the new facility. We want natural light in that area because that is the area where we know people need to focus their work, so all along that northern side.

**Mr JONES** - You would have noticed there is a series of two or three offices running along that external wall on the outside of the dispensary. What we have done is moved those offices so all the current dispensing work stations which are located inboard have now been moved adjacent to those windows. The dispensing pharmacists will be working in natural light environments. It has also allowed us to open up and get natural light deeper into the footprint of the building, whilst maintaining an openness to the dispensary area. We have also then been able to shift the dispensary area a little bit further inboard, so the product itself is not suffering the direct impact of natural light whilst still maintaining an open light area.

On the other side of the building, which was the old CSD, there is less natural light on that side.

**CHAIR** - The CSD?

**Mr JONES** - The old Central Sterilising Department, which has subsequently moved to the K-Block once that work was completed. What we are doing in that space is where the new sterile production suite for both pharmacy and also jack jumpers will be located, because both of those areas, by the nature of the work undertaken, have no requirement for natural light, and therefore, we have located those areas in the space that provides the least light into the building. We have used natural light where we can for heavy orientated work sites that are suited to natural light. Where no natural light is required, that is where we have located those particular work functions.

**CHAIR** - There are certain steps I thought I heard described might improve the work environment for those areas without natural light. There was something to do with light or was it wall treatment or something?

**Mr JONES** - Certainly in the staff room, which you would have seen earlier today, which is in the order of about 15 to 20 square metres.

... We have been able to allocate approximately nearly four times that size for a new staff facility. It is one of those spaces that has been a transitional space rather than a fully occupied space located in the old operating theatre in the north-east corner that we quickly looked at today. As a way of mitigating the lack of natural light, part of the thought process is to engage with Arts Tasmania as part of the arts program for the project and look at the creation of light boxes so that we can utilise, whether it be a landscape feature or something like that, that is actually backlit as part of the art to enhance the light and take away from the fact there is no physical window there.

## Coolrooms

4.9 The Committee had seen the existing coolroom during the site visit and recognised the risks if it were to fail. The Committee asked the witnesses to detail how the project would address these risks:

**CHAIR** - ... You talk about the new facility having two coolrooms with separate systems in the new building. Can you talk us through what you have currently, some of the issues that you might be having and how you are expecting to mitigate that?

**Mr SIMPSON** - We store a number of medications that need to be refrigerated, particularly those protein medications that I was referring to earlier. They all need to be refrigerated between two and eight degrees. We have a coolroom in the pharmacy, effectively a large

walk-in fridge. The compressor in that has probably been operating continuously for 20 years and every now and then we have had failures of that equipment.

We have been fortunate that we have the Wellington Centre Pharmacy which has a coolroom also, although it is across an airbridge. We can get medications to store them there if we have a failure of the coolroom. That is what has happened when we have had a failure, with an additional backup plan of being able to call in a refrigerated truck and park it on the loading dock as an absolute last resort.

**CHAIR** - In the new facility, you are going to have two?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Two dual redundant coolrooms so that we can immediately move stock from one to the other in the event of a failure, or to undertake maintenance, which is one of our challenges with the current facility.

**CHAIR** - And the size of those compared to the size you have at the moment, is it simply double? Or are they both much bigger than you currently need, in terms of growth in the future?

**Mr JONES** - I could best answer that. We're approximately quadrupling the available space for the coolroom. We are going from approximately 7 square metres that we currently have to a total volume of about 28 square metres for two new ones.

### **Safety Systems for Hazardous Substances**

4.10 The Committee understood there were numerous risks involved with the medications and substances stored or being handled in the Pharmacy. The Committee sought to understand what systems would be in place to ensure these risks were safely managed:

**CHAIR** - ...Can you describe for us what you have at the moment and how you cope with serious chemical spills on site and how this new development will improve that circumstance?

**Mr SIMPSON** - We store a number of medications in the pharmacy which can be classified as hazardous or cytotoxic and those can be a risk through inhalation or skin contamination from exposure. Every one of those hazardous and cytotoxic medications we record in ChemAlert and material Safety Data Sheet systems so we have an inventory as to what is hazardous in the place. We also undertake annual mandatory training for all pharmacy staff. Every pharmacy staff member there has a certificate to demonstrate they can deal with a cytotoxic spill. That spill training is done every year and we keep spill kits on hand wherever we store those hazardous medicines sufficient to deal with up to a 2-litre single spill.

The airflow within the current design probably does not help us deal with that and where the new facility really will support us in that if there is a spill there will be entirely separate airflow enclosures from -

**CHAIR** - Increasingly negative pressure rooms - is this what you are referring to?

**Mr SIMPSON** - You were listening.

**CHAIR** - I was listening.

**Mr SIMPSON** - Darren talked about the negative pressures.

Indeed, we gain compartmentalisation of the pharmacy that allows us to deal with spills of volatile or aerosolised contaminants.

**CHAIR** - With the filtering systems associated with all of that, are there any dangers to the public at all? Can you go a little bit through that so we can have it on the record?

**Mr SIMPSON** - It is not my area of technical expertise. I will only speak about the things I know about, which is that the airflow into all of those sterile production and cytotoxic production facilities is HEPA filtered, that it is sterile air in those places and there are national standards for how many exhausts from those rooms are ventilated, which the new facility will meet.



**CHAIR** - It meets the standards.

**Mr JONES** - We are required to comply with AS 1668, which is the Australian Standard covering basic mechanical air-conditioning systems. Over and above that, there is a series of specific standards relating to cytotoxic drug safety as well as aseptic production - one of which is just a straight Australian Standard and the other one is an international organisation standard. We have to maintain compliance with both of those in addition to the mechanical standard under AS 1668.

## **Airflow Control**

- 4.11 The Committee recognised the pharmacy environment, especially one involving manufacturing, would require systems to safely control airflows. The Committee sought further information on the importance of airflow controls and how this would be managed:

**Mr TUCKER** - We could go a little bit more into the air conditioning because that has been a big part of this project and the building service upgrades. Can you discuss that a little more? I know you did that diagram and we have discussed it a fair bit. Is there anything you would like to add about the air conditioning with what has been added to the rooms?

**Mr SIMPSON** - You have hit on the fact that is a major component of the challenge of designing this facility, to make sure that we have both the positive pressure in those rooms where we need it to prevent bacterial contamination and the negative pressure for those areas where we are protecting staff. I hope I have got that the right way around.

Airflow handling, filtration and conditioning is challenging. We know our staff need to be at comfortable temperatures when working in these sterile spaces. They are often wearing layers of plastic gowns, latex gloves, aprons and things. It is a sweat box if it is not properly treated. Yes, a lot of infrastructure work.

**Mr JONES** - The areas, particularly the jack jumper one, are being designed and therefore constructed to achieve certification under the Therapeutic Goods Administration. There is actually a guideline that has been put together for GMP, or good manufacturing practices, and within that guideline it sets out the tenets of the minimum requirements under that standard to achieve the certification. One of the big things it sets out is the minimum temperature requirements, not only as a general rule of thumb but also particularly relating to each of the individual rooms, whether it be the clean store and documentation, the airlock, the assembly and also the production suites.

It also sets out the requirements for the air pressure differentials, whether positive or negative. Generally speaking across the board, if you take the outside air or the balance of the pharmacy, we will call that zero pascals. It is a little bit relative to the outside air because each room is set up with a Magnehelic device that is also connected to the outside air pressures. Not only are you getting differential pressures relating internally within the production suite and also the outer area of the pharmacy, but also outside air pressures.

Then you step into the airlock or change room. That will be at a positive pressure compared to the outside space so that, as Thomas said, you do not get transmission of airborne bacteria or viruses or any sort of contaminant that may try to find its way into the clean area. From the airlock into the clean store documentation space, which is where the vast majority of those pharmacy staff will predominantly operate on a regular basis, there is, in fact, a negative pressure to mitigate or to stop further transfer. Then, as you step your way through each of the rooms, there is a pressure differential building up to the point where you move into the actual production room. There is actually a positive differential between there and the adjoining airlock, again, to mitigate or minimise the opportunities for bacteria or other foreign bodies to enter the room.

They are all set out and identified in the GMP guidelines prepared by the Therapeutic Goods Administration. It is also set out and utilised in the Australasian Health Facility Guidelines as a

basis. There is a pharmacy planning unit document contained within those guidelines which also makes reference to sterile production suites. That also sets out the minimum requirements in terms of which particular ISO standard to refer to or which Australian standard. It is very heavily regulated, even setting down the minimum number of air changes and the maximum size of particles per cubic metre that is allowed in each area. It is very heavily regulated.

### **Fire Protection Systems**

- 4.12 Given the nature of the facility and the substances present, the Committee sought further detail on how the fire protection system would function in the Pharmacy:

**CHAIR** - ... In the event of sprinkler systems coming on, and given the chemicals you are dealing with and whatever else, can you describe the systems in place? If there was a fire, the sprinkler goes on, you get a whole heap of water into the space, so how is that water being dealt with, especially given that it could be contaminated?

**Mr JONES** - In the first instance, within the sterile production suite, we don't actually run a fire sprinkler system for that reason. That part of the area is discretely separate from the rest of the building and it is protected with a fire detection system, but certainly not a fire 'protection'. The rest of the level 5, within which the pharmacy does occupy, will be protected by sprinklers. The way the sprinklers work, they are very similar to - you might be familiar with addressable smoke detection systems. An addressable smoke detection system works on the basis that each fire detector is allocated - a bit like a computer, it almost has its own IP address. Therefore, when that particular detector goes off, it identifies where it is within either a footprint of a floor or a building so when Tasmania Fire Service turns up, they can look at the fire indicator panel and go, 'we have to go to that room on that floor'. The way the sprinklers are set up is similar. They are not fully addressable. They can to a point, tell you which one is going off, but the way it works is that fire sprinklers will only go off, unlike in the movies where they go across the entire floor -

**CHAIR** - They go off where they are needed.

**Mr JONES** - They go off where they are needed. If the fire is localised to only the location of one sprinkler head, only that one sprinkler head will go off to mitigate any unnecessary discharge or deluge of additional water.

**CHAIR** - ... So any volume of water can be handled reasonably?

**Mr JONES** - Yes.

**CHAIR** - Are there drainage systems in place for that? The floors below aren't going to all of a sudden be flooded?

**Mr JONES** - We hope not. Certainly, when we go through and do the work, we will try to ensure as much as we are able, to seal our floor from the floor below for predominantly the reason of fire separation.

### **Project Budget and Tender Process**

- 4.13 The Committee sought an explanation from the witnesses on how the project budget had been determined, including the reasons why a 30% escalation allowance had been included:

**Mr TUCKER** - ... At the time of completion of detailed design documentation in February 2023, the project was placed on hold pending confirmation of the availability of required funding.

We also talked about the construction tender date of April 2023. I am wondering whether we could go through what we discussed earlier today, also taking into account the 30 per cent allocation to address risks associated with the Tasmanian construction market conditions about that issue.

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Firstly, in relation to the closing of the construction tender and the project being placed on hold in February 2023. That was at the end of Darren's detailed design process, the funding allocation had been made. Completion of the detailed design was part of the process to establish what budget would be required. At that time, the estimated budget didn't match the funding available at the time.

**Mr TUCKER** - What was the estimated budget at the time?

**Mr HOLTMAN** - The estimated budget was what is now the complete budget. The approved budget available at that time was \$5.861 million. That was the current approved budget as of February 2023.

**Mr TUCKER** - Quite a difference between that and what it is actually costing to do it, isn't there?

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Absolutely. In May 2023, an additional \$16 million was allocated and that now forms the total project budget of \$21.861 million.

You asked a question in relation to the closing of the construction tender and the fact that there is still a 30 per cent construction contingency and allowance for changes.

**Mr TUCKER** - After the tenders have been received and closed, explain why there is a 30 per cent contingency on top of that after you received the tender.

**Mr HOLTMAN** - The budget as is presented in the paper is the budget that was generated as the pre-tender estimate. As of April 2023, that was the closure of the budget submission period on 26 April and that started the post-tender evaluation period. That post-tender is ongoing. Just recently a decision has been made by the current review committee to vote on our preferred tenderer, who has not yet been advised of their success. The period we are entering now, with our preferred tenderer, will be for negotiation to work through their submission. We will try to get the best value we possibly can out of their submission, which will ultimately be the fixed construction sum.

**CHAIR** - ... In relation to this project, contingency is 13 per cent.

**Mr TUCKER** - Isn't that included in the construction cost?

**CHAIR** - No, the 30 per cent escalation cost is in the construction but the \$1.811 million is a construction contingency, is it not? It is 13 per cent. Is there a reason 13 per cent was chosen or is it the quantity surveyor who is telling you this?

**Mr HOLTMAN** - There is construction contingency within the \$13.9 million. The project contingency [\$1.811million, or 13%] is additional funds held back for the project to cover off things that are noted there; for example, latent conditions, issues with the hospital that haven't yet been identified at the point of time that the project is undertaken, and hazardous materials. We are well aware that there is asbestos within those areas. Quite an extensive survey has been done but not the complete surveying. There is a likelihood that additional cost is incurred to manage those things. It is an additional level of contingency to ensure that we do not go over budget.

**CHAIR** - You are not quite sure how much asbestos you are going to come up with or other possible circumstances like piping or whatever that has to be redone because of its state. Is that what you are telling me?

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Exactly. Old buildings that have had multiple layers of development and redevelopment over them have particular quirks, some of which are known, many of which are not known and that is part of the process.

**CHAIR** - Your comment about the construction contingency, you are right. It is in the \$13.9 million, but it is in there with the 30 per cent in escalation costs.

**Mr HOLTMAN** - The 30 per cent was provided by the quantity surveyor on construction costs...There is a note in the paragraph just below the table, which comes directly from the

QS, noting risks associated with Tasmanian construction market conditions, challenge of construction within the aging Royal Hobart Hospital facility.

**CHAIR** - So it is a combination?

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Yes, and allowances for the complexities of working within a live environment because that is difficult. Given the critical nature of the pharmacy service, allowances must be made to ensure that the works of the contractor don't, in any significant way impact the valuable work that Tom and his team must do and must continue to be able to do to service the hospital, and that itself incurs a cost.

...

**Mr HARGRAVE** - ... Building a hospital, renewing a hospital, refurbishing a space while you continue to provide high-quality services out of it is the tricky bit. It is difficult for Darren and his team to design it so it can function that way and also difficult for a contractor to price it and goes a little bit to why you are seeing some of the -

**CHAIR** - Thirty per cent.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - Correct. That is about market conditions as well because post-COVID-19 we have seen the market inflate across a whole range of things, but the building construction sector is no different and we have seen significant escalations in building and construction - that is part of it.

The other bit is the complexity of the decant and the staging and, obviously, a contractor has to factor that into their pricing when they make a submission or a response to a request for tender.

- 4.14 The Committee was aware that a preferred tenderer had been approved, however the witnesses noted there would still be a need for negotiations with the preferred tenderer. The Committee sought to understand why such negotiations were necessary:

**Mr TUCKER** - Coming back to our discussion earlier today, Darren talking about the fact some of the stuff that is put in the tender is not finalised and you need to go through that, would you like to expand a little so it can be on the record?

**Mr JONES** - By way of explanation, and certainly in terms of my experience over the years, I have rarely seen a fully conforming tender. Generally speaking, a tenderer will provide a tender submission in response to the documentation that he has provided. However, he will always list his clarifications and any assumptions he has made. He will also inadvertently exclude things or he may deliberately exclude an item for whatever reason. On top of that, there will be conditions of contract that have been provided by Crown Law that he/they or whichever company it may be, will potentially disagree with or have a different version of events that they would like to incorporate.

That has all been combined as part of this tender assessment process. Now that we are in that situation that we have our notification with regards to which tenderer, we can now go back and instigate those conversations to resolve those outstanding issues that require clarification.

- 4.15 The Committee also noted a significant sum was allocated for furniture and equipment. However, the Committee did recognise the specialised nature of the equipment needed. The Committee asked the witnesses to provide further detail on the equipment budget:

**CHAIR** - The furniture and equipment - \$2.3 million is a significant amount and there is specialist manufacturing furniture and equipment of \$800 000 also. All up it is \$3.1 million....

...How does that equate with similar areas being redeveloped in the hospital over time in the past? It seems to me to be a lot of money for furniture and equipment.

**Mr TUCKER** - It is a lot more specialised area.

**Mr HOLTMAN** - For example, there is the \$800 000 in the specialist manufacturing equipment which relates directly to the items we have spoken about quite extensively today. The pass-through hatches and part of the equipment which maintains the pressure differentials between the rooms, as well as the environmental monitoring systems [EMS] - those two pieces have been separated out because they are in and of themselves quite expensive, but also very specialised, but also because of the required large area for compounding other manufacturing speciality pieces of equipment within those spaces, including pass-through hatches.

**CHAIR** - Are you talking about new storage cabinets and things like that?

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Cool rooms, new shelving - all of that regular stuff but certainly, beyond the pass-through hatches and the EMS, all of the specialist manufacturing equipment which needs to go in those spaces, like the laminar flow hoods and the number increase that we have spoken about which goes into contributing to that large cost.

**CHAIR** - Not cheap items.

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Unfortunately, not.

**Mr TUCKER** - This goes back to what Andrew and I discussed about asset replacement plans and what I am talking about -

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Yes.

**Mr TUCKER** - That needs to be renewed and have a 10-year asset replacement plan done around them.

**CHAIR** - Because there's no question they are going to be needed.

**Mr HOLTMAN** - Absolutely, that is right.

**CHAIR** - Otherwise, you cease to provide the service properly.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - Really pulling together that inventory, understanding what is the useful life of the equipment and planning for its replacement well in advance and when that equipment reaches the end of life.

### **The Need for an Asset Replacement Strategy**

4.16 The Committee understood specialised manufacturing equipment needed to be replaced periodically. The Committee sought to understand how this was managed in the Pharmacy, and, given the critical importance of this equipment to the functions of the RHH Pharmacy and the hospital in general, whether there was an established asset replacement strategy:

**Mr TUCKER** - ... Maybe the Department wants to talk about whether they do have plans for an asset replacement plan, especially around this pharmacy issue.

**Mr SIMPSON** - ... Historically, we've replaced our sterile suite infrastructure. That is the stuff with the long lead time and the higher cost, which we need to import from overseas. That has been historically replaced on a 5- to 10-yearly basis. We have a smaller amount of that infrastructure in the current facility than we will in the new. What we gain by having a better space is also the ability to cast the net wider when we do replace those assets.

Our intention will be that as that equipment reaches the 10-year mark in seven years' time, we will start that process of putting a request through to government to fund the replacement cost of those assets.

**Mr TUCKER** - It would be nice to know that they are on a plan going forward so you do not have to put the request as we do at councils. The state Government has pushed this down

onto councils - that they have asset replacement plans. The department should be doing this sort of work themselves. Andrew?

**Mr HARGRAVE** - The management and the asset management approach to equipment broadly across the whole department has been incorporated into the infrastructure portfolio. At the moment we are in the process of developing an equipment management framework which basically forms the basis for an asset management plan that relates to equipment, not just within the pharmacy project but more broadly across the entire portfolio and Health. That includes surgical equipment, endoscopes. Tom has mentioned some of the pieces of equipment that are particularly important for the pharmacy project.

But yes, it is very much taking that asset management approach: understanding what your inventory is; understanding what the useful life of those particular pieces of equipment are; understanding the risks associated with the failure of those pieces of equipment; and, particularly to your point, Mr Tucker, understanding and planning for their replacement, knowing what their useful life is.

So, for example a laminar flow or an analyser of some description may have a 10-year useful life. As part of our asset management planning process and as part of the development of our long-term financial plan associated with that, we would understand when we need to start making budget submissions and planning for their replacement. That piece of work is in its infancy but it is underway.

**Mr TUCKER** - There is probably more than budget submissions. If you have an asset replacement plan, it is in the budget because you know that that replacement is coming forward in 10 years' time and that you have to replace that piece of equipment or replace that asset. So, it is in the actual budget before we start dealing with the other things. I suppose the cream on the budget is when you can spend money: you know what you have to be doing to keep the assets you have, where they need to be in the submissions.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - I think our process is a little different. We have a long-term financial plan for identifying and understanding when those costs are due to fall but we then, through our annual budget process, need to make a submission to make that application for funding.

**CHAIR** - So, when you put infrastructure in place like this - and let's concentrate on the equipment that is needed for it to function as opposed to bricks and mortar - with your asset management process, do you have that in a software system that records when something fails? Does it automatically update the life of the rest of those products? That sort of thing.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - We do, and we have just signed a contract for the procurement of an asset management system with a company by the name of Brightly. Yes, that is captured in an inventory within that system and its condition or any maintenance that is undertaken on it is also recorded. Obviously, the costs associated with it are also captured in that system. It is not rolled out yet but it is in procurement.

**CHAIR** - So, the point that Mr Tucker makes in effect though is putting those funds aside - I think you were saying this.

**Mr TUCKER** - They are listed there in the budget papers in that year. So, say you have a 10-year replacement plan, you have certain things you have already replaced on year one, year two, year three, year four but, in that year, they are in the budget before anything else that happens.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - They are in the budget in the sense of they are planned for, unless there is an appropriation of money in the state Budget for it and often there is. We have had some recent equipment appropriations in the Budget. Those funds would be used if they are not there or we need a continuation of them, we need to make a submission annually for those funds. That is the state process.

**Mr TUCKER** - I know that is the state process but what I am saying is, we need to be looking into this a bit harder because this process that you are talking about isn't working the way we want it to be working. We are replacing those assets going forward, as we have seen today.

We need to have something solid. What I am saying is that what they do in councils now with their asset replacement plans for all their outside equipment - it is something that's easier for me to explain - you have to replace a grader at year 8. At year 8, that's in the budget on the council papers because you know you have got to replace that grader. What I am saying is that we should have the same thing in the health system and in the education system, so we replace those assets when they need to be replaced, instead of letting them get to that point when we say - 'Oh, we've got to act urgently. We have to replace this.'

**CHAIR** - Are you talking about the general situation as opposed to specific for this project?

**Mr TUCKER** - Yes. I am explaining what I am saying to them - what I am asking them with regard to this project that this equipment needs to have an asset replacement plan going forward.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - Yes, it does.

**CHAIR** - Especially given that it is such a central component of the operation of the hospital. I cannot imagine how the hospital would function properly with any component of this missing. It is very integral to the whole operation of the hospital and significant.

**Mr HARGRAVE** - Critical, yes.

#### **Does the Project Meet the Requirements of the Public Works Committee Act?**

4.17 In assessing any proposed public work, the Committee seeks an assurance that each project meets the criteria detailed in Clause 15(2) of the Public Works Committee Act 1914. Broadly, and in simple terms, these relate to the purpose of the works, the need for and advisability of undertaking the works, and whether the works are a good use of public funds and provide value for money to the community. The Committee questioned the witnesses who provided the following confirmation:

**CHAIR** - There are the five standard questions we always ask and it is important because it goes in as a result of the Public Works Committee Act 1914, that we are satisfied with these things.

The first is, does the proposed works meet an identified need or needs or solve a recognised problem?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Yes, it does.

**CHAIR** - And the problem being not enough space, not enough equipment to service the hospital as it presently stands and operates?

**Mr HARGRAVE** - I would defer to Tom in that instance, Chair. The other thing the project does is that it provides capability for expansion, which we spoke about earlier in the committee meeting.

**CHAIR** - Are the proposed works the best solution to meet identified needs or solve a recognised problem within the allocated budget?

**Mr SIMPSON** - I believe so, yes.

**CHAIR** - Considering something offsite was never in the imagination as to how it might function?

**Mr SIMPSON** - All options were considered, including offsite, in the early days. But the reality is the flow of thousands of doses of medicines a day between the pharmacy and the rest of the hospital and the many hundreds of people that move between the wards and the pharmacy simply requires it to be on site.

**CHAIR** - Are the proposed works fit for purpose?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Yes, they meet our needs.

**CHAIR** - Do the proposed works provide value for money?

**Mr HARGRAVE** - Yes, in the current market.

**CHAIR** - Thinking about something that might have been offsite, would it have been a lot more expensive to even consider that?

**Mr HARGRAVE** - That would remain to be seen. The functional and operational reality of Tom's area and what it is, the functions and the things they do, it needed to be on site. Tom, I will refer to you to talk on that. We had those conversations early on in the project development, it had to be on site, particularly in relation to some of those radioactive isotopes Tom spoke about earlier on in the committee's hearing, particularly in relation to the treatment of cancer patients and chemotherapy drugs.

**Mr SIMPSON** - There would not be an acute hospital in the country that does not have a pharmacy department integrated on site...

**CHAIR** - ...Are the proposed works a good use of public funds?

**Mr SIMPSON** - Yes.



## **5 DOCUMENTS TAKEN INTO EVIDENCE**

5.1 The following document was taken into evidence and considered by the Committee:

- *Royal Hobart Hospital (RHH) Pharmacy Expansion Project* - Submission to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works, Department of Health, July 2023

## **6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

- 6.1 The Committee is satisfied the need for the proposed works has been established. Once completed, the Royal Hobart Hospital Pharmacy Expansion Project will provide a contemporary tertiary hospital pharmacy service and sterile pharmaceutical production facilities, designed to overcome the recognised deficiencies of the current pharmacy facility.
- 6.2 The proposed works will provide a much larger and more efficient dispensing and storage space, more work space for staff, better staff facilities, a high-capacity sterile pharmaceutical manufacturing and compounding suite to meet the hospital's manufacturing requirements for a range of clinical services, and a dedicated TGA-compliant sterile production facility to meet the manufacturing needs of the Jack Jumper Ant Venom Immunotherapy Program. It is expected that once operational, the expanded RHH Pharmacy will provide a range of benefits, leading to an improved environment for staff, and safer, more efficient service delivery, with the ultimate benefit felt by hospital patients and service clients.
- 6.3 However, the Committee did note the specialised equipment in the manufacturing facility had an expected life span of 10 years. The Committee recognises how critical this equipment is to the functions of the RHH Pharmacy and the services it delivers to the hospital and patients. The Committee is of the view it is not acceptable for there to be a risk to pharmacy services, and by corollary, to patients and clients, should these not be replaced in an appropriate timeframe. The Committee therefore recommends that an asset replacement strategy be developed as a matter of priority to ensure that funding is committed to the replacement of this specialised equipment with sufficient lead time, such that it ensures there is no risk of interruption to service continuity.
- 6.4 Notwithstanding this concern, accordingly, the Committee recommends the Royal Hobart Hospital Pharmacy Expansion Project, at an estimated cost of \$21.86 million, in accordance with the documentation submitted.

**Parliament House  
Hobart  
31 July 2023**

**Hon Rob Valentine MLC  
Chair**

